NATIONAL RECOGNITION REPORT National Association of School Psychologists (NASP)

City/state: Florence, SC Date of review: February 1, 2017 Note: CAEP/NCATE recognition of this program is dependent on the review of the program by representatives of the National Association of School Psychologists (NASP) and review of the unit by CAEP/NCATE. The NASP approval decision was made independent of CAEP/NCATE unit review. This report is in response to a(n): ☑ Initial Review ☐ Revised Report ☐ Response to Condition **Title of Program Covered by This Program Type Program Level** Review: School Psychology Other School Personnel Specialist □ Doctorate Specific title of degree/award that appears in official institutional documentation: Specialist in School Psychology PART A—RECOGNITION DECISION (see Part G for specifics on decision) A.1—Decision on NASP approval/CAEP/NCATE recognition of the program—TO BE COMPLETED BY PROGRAM APPROVAL BOARD ONLY: ☑ NASP Approved/CAEP/NCATE Nationally recognized (if in unit accredited by CAEP/NCATE) ☐ NASP Conditionally Approved/CAEP/NCATE Nationally recognized with conditions (if in unit accredited by CAEP/NCATE) ☐ Not approved or nationally recognized

Name of institution: Francis Marion University

A.2—Test Results (from information supplied in Assessment #1, if applicable) The program meets or exceeds an 80% pass rate on state licensure exams:							
	☑ Yes □ No □ Not applicable □ Not able to determine						
Comment: The program meets the 80% pass rate over two applications.							

A.3—Summary of Strengths:

The program has a comprehensive assessment system including a well-organized course-embedded grading system, thorough analyses of assessments, a solid research program that requires at least an on campus research day presentation. Overall, this is a very strong program.

PART B—STATUS OF MEETING SPA STANDARDS

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
CONDITION STANDARD I: SCHOOL	MET	No concerns were noted with Condition Standard 1.
PSYCHOLOGY PROGRAM		
CONTEXT/STRUCTURE. Graduate		
education in school psychology is		
delivered within the context of a		
comprehensive program framework		
based on clear goals and objectives		
and a sequential, integrated course of		
study in which human diversity is		
emphasized. Graduate education		
develops candidates' strong affiliation		
with school psychology, is delivered by		
qualified faculty, and includes		
substantial coursework and supervised		
field experiences necessary for the		
preparation of competent school		
psychologists whose services positively		
impact children, families, schools, and		
other consumers. In addition to		
specialist- and/or doctoral-level		
programs of study, a school psychology		
program that offers opportunities for		

¹ The 80% pass rate requirement is a CAEP/NCATE requirement, not an SPA-specific requirement. SPA decisions and comments on licensure data should be applied to what the institution has provided for Assessment #1.

The CAEP/NCATE pass rate requirement is that 80% of program completers, in the most recent annual set of data, must pass the state-required licensure test that includes or is specific to content knowledge. Programs that have fewer than 10 completers in the most recent annual data set must base the pass rate on the average of three years of annual data. Programs that have fewer than 10 completers over the total of a three-year period are exempt from this requirement. Reviewers should be able to determine whether the pass rate is met by looking at the Candidate/Completers chart for information on the number of candidates and the licensure data that is supplied as Assessment #1. If a determination cannot be made from these two pieces of information, then reviewers should check the "unable to determine" box, and CAEP/NCATE staff will seek clarification from the institution.

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
respecialization, retraining, and other		
alternative approaches to credentialing		
as a school psychologist ensures that		
program requirements are consistent with NASP graduate preparation		
standards.		
C.1 - The school psychology program is	Acceptable	Policy:
composed of integrated and	Acceptable	1 oney.
comprehensive philosophy/mission,		The Program Handbook is thorough and clearly identifies
goals, objectives, program of study,		the program as school psychology. Further, the
and supervised practice, as reflected in		philosophy and mission of the program is described in the
the following: Clear identification as a		handbook as well as course sequencing, affiliations,
"school psychology program" and		graduate assistantships and scholarships. The handbook is
communication of a program		comprehensive.
framework or model, in which its		
philosophy/mission is represented in		Practice:
explicit goals and objectives for school		
psychology competencies that		Candidate and faculty data reveal practice aligns with the
candidates are expected to attain and		policies found in the Program Handbook. There are 3
in which human diversity is		fulltime and 2 adjunct faculty that have their terminal
emphasized. An integrated, sequential		degree in school psychology and are active in the
program of study and supervised field		profession.
experiences that are based on the		
program's philosophy/mission, goals,		It appears that most candidates complete the program as
and objectives and consistent across		full-time students and transcripts reveal course
candidates Full-time, part-time, and/or		sequencing that aligns with policy found in the Program Handbook.
alternative types of enrollment that		папироок.
provide multiple and systematic opportunities through coursework,		
supervised practice, and other		
comprehensive program activities for		
candidates to establish professional		
identity as school psychologists and		
develop an affiliation with colleagues		
and faculty. Use of systematic,		
performance-based evaluation and		
accountability procedures to improve		
the quality of the program.		
C.2 – Graduate preparation in the	Acceptable	Policy: Evidence of policy is found in the Program
school psychology program is		Handbook.
designed, delivered, and assessed by		
highly qualified faculty members who		Practice:
primarily are school psychologists, as		
demonstrated by the following:		Attachment B identified 4 faculty members directly
Faculty who are designated specifically		related to the school psychology program that have their
as school psychology program faculty		PhD in school psychology. This includes the program chair
members and total at least three full-		and two other fulltime faculty members as well as 2
time equivalents (FTEs). At least two		adjunct faculty members. The faculty members are active
school psychology program faculty		in the profession at the state and national levels.

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
members (including the program		
administrator) who hold doctoral		
degrees with specialization in school		
psychology and are actively engaged in		
school psychology (e.g., possess state		
and/or national credentials as school		
psychologists; have experience as		
school psychologists; participate in		
professional associations of school		
psychologists; contribute to research,		
scholarly publications, and		
presentations in school psychology).		
Other school psychology program		
faculty members, as relevant for the		
program, who hold doctoral degrees in		
psychology, education, or a closely		
related disciplines with specializations		
supportive of their graduate		
preparation responsibilities in the		
program.		
Requirements for Specialist-level progra	-	Delian
C.3 - SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY SPECIALIST-LEVEL PROGRAMS ONLY:	Acceptable	Policy:
		The Dregram Handhook provides a sequence of sources
The specialist-level program of study in school psychology consists of the		The Program Handbook provides a sequence of courses that include 72 hours and 3 years in length. Further, the
following: A minimum of 3 years of		handbook indicates the multiple practicum courses that
full-time study at the graduate level, or		occur prior to a fulltime internship that is completed
the equivalent if part-time; at least 60		during the third year.
graduate semester hours or the		during the time year.
equivalent, with at least 54 hours		Practice:
exclusive of credit for the supervised		
specialist-level internship experience;		Candidate transcripts align with the program handbook
Institutional documentation of school		expectations.
psychology specialist-level program		
completion provided to graduates		
Requirements for Doctoral-level program	ms only	
C.4 - SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY	NA	Not Applicable
DOCTORAL-LEVEL PROGRAMS ONLY:		
The doctoral level program of study in		
school psychology consists of the		
following: Greater depth in one or		
more school psychology competencies		
identified by the program in its		
philosophy/mission of doctoral-level		
preparation and reflected in program		
goals, objectives, and sequential		
program of study and supervised		
practice.		

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL PROGRAMS (C	.5)	
C.5 - If the school psychology program	NA	Not Applicable
provides opportunities for		
respecialization, retraining, or other		
alternative approaches to prepare		
candidates for credentialing as school		
psychologists (e.g., for candidates who		
hold graduate degrees in related fields		
and are seeking graduate preparation		
and credentialing as school		
psychologists), the program ensures		
that its requirements for		
respecialization, retraining, or		
alternative credentialing approaches		
are consistent with these NASP		
graduate preparation Standards. The		
program applies systematic evaluation		
procedures and Standards to grant		
recognition of candidates' prior		
courses/field experiences and to		
identify additional graduate courses		
and experiences necessary for		
candidates to meet school psychology		
program requirements.		

Standards II Through VIII: School Psychology Graduate Education and Practice

NOTE: Reviewers must evaluate the extent to which EACH domain below is *ASSESSED, and ATTAINED by the program*.

General comments:

Assessment 1 (Praxis or state exam): Praxis data were provided for 2 cohorts and revealed 100% of candidates passed the exam. Data are disaggregated by NASP practice standards and percentage of items passed is provided by candidate.

Assessment 2 (course-embedded assessment): According to Table E, the program faculty members have done an outstanding job aligning courses to the NASP practice standards (II-VIII). The objectives (see below for additional information) are consistent with what would be expected in courses and assignments seem well-designed in order to evaluate candidate knowledge. This is a program strength.

The program faculty might review the objectives for each of the courses in Table E with the goal of reducing the number or objectives to 2 or 3. For example, Course 2 (Psych 616) in Standard II (on page 2) lists 10 objectives relevant to the standard.

In addition, the program requires a comprehensive oral and written examination evaluation. The structure and scoring rubrics appear to be valid and should be viewed as a strength for program evaluation. A note; the 2010 NASP standards requires the use of grades for Assessment 2. Therefore, the program faculty might think about moving this valuable knowledge-based assessment to a supplemental NASP assessment (Assessment 7 or 8).

Assessment 3 (practica evaluation): The program created a very thorough practicum evaluation sequence

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
---------------	--------	---------

including field supervisor ratings and faculty evaluation of projects. The 3-sequence practicum model seems to allow candidates to learn and be evaluated across all NASP practice standards. The field supervisor evaluation forms are comprehensive. This is another program strength.

Note that while program faculty have aligned the three-course practicum sequence with all NASP practice standards, NASP does not require all practice standards to be measured with Assessment 3.

Assessment 4 (intern evaluations): The program faculty report having a 350-item Internship Field Based Assessment Form that covers all NASP practice standards. This form was not found in any Assessment 4 folders but was located in the Assessment 5 information. The internship field-based form was found in Assessment 5 and reveals a highly comprehensive document that has numerous items align with each of the NASP practice standards. Data are provided that aggregate and disaggregate data and include growth scores from fall to spring semester.

Assessment 5 (comprehensive, performance based assessment of candidate abilities evaluated by faculty during internship): Assessment 5 is very thorough and provides multiple assessments of performance-based assessments of candidate abilities as evaluated by faculty. These include supervisor ratings on the field evaluation form, analysis of internship portfolio by faculty, and faculty evaluation of multiple case studies and assessment reports including a research project and counseling skill ratings during internship. Data are disaggregated by intern and scoring rubrics are provided.

Assessment 6 (measurable positive impact on student learning): All candidates must provide the 4 completed case studies in the areas of Behavioral Assessment and Intervention, Academic Assessment and Intervention, Child/Adolescent Counseling and Therapy, and Psychological Consultation in Schools and Agencies. In addition, Interns are expected to complete a research project designed in conjunction with district needs. Candidates are required to present their research project at the annual Francis Marion University research symposium that is held on each spring semester. In addition, posters that are deemed to have potential are entered for presentation at the annual NASP convention. It is commendable that interns complete 4 case studies during their internship year as well as a research project. Further, based on the case study evaluation rubric, there is an expectation for interns to calculate some form of an effect size.

The concern with this Assessment is that is it appears that faculty members evaluate the case studies using a series of comprehensive case study evaluation rubrics. Thus, it is unclear as to whether interns calculate effect size on their case studies or if faculty evaluate the cases using the rubric and then they calculate effect size. The intent of Assessment 6 is for interns to provide evidence of positive impact, which is typically done through some form of effect size measure.

Assessment 7 (optional assessment): The faculty require candidates to complete oral and written exams during the program and collect aggregated and disaggregated data that the faculty have aligned with NASP practice standards.

Assessment 8 (optional assessment): At the end of internship, candidates are asked to complete the *Program Experience Questionnaire*, which provides the faculty feedback on strengths and weaknesses of the program. The faculty also have alumni complete a survey in order to receive feedback on program strengths and weaknesses.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENTS:

Overall, the program faculty have created many high quality and comprehensive assessments including 1 through 5. Many of the assessment tools could be used as models for other programs. In addition, the program provided

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment		
about 3 additional assessment tools in or	der to receive	program feedback, ranging from recent completers of		
		ys. The only issue is related to Assessment 6 in that it does		
		ct size to provide evidence of positive impact; instead, it		
seems like faculty complete the evaluations. Overall, the assessments were impressive.				
STANDARD II – PRACTICES THAT	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct		
PERMEATE ALL ASPECTS OF SERVICE		information indicating how the program assesses data-		
DELIVERY: Data-Based Decision		based decision making and accountability. Courses and		
Making and Accountability: School		course-embedded assessments include information from		
psychologists have knowledge of		PSY 616, 615, and 606.		
varied methods of assessment and				
data collection methods for identifying		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented		
strengths and needs, developing		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to data-		
effective services and programs, and		based decision making.		
measuring progress and outcomes. As		.		
part of a systematic and				
comprehensive process of effective				
decision making and problem solving				
that permeates all aspects of service				
delivery, school psychologists				
demonstrate skills to use psychological				
and educational assessment, data				
collection strategies, and technology				
resources and apply results to design,				
implement, and evaluate response to				
services and programs.				
STANDARD III – PRACTICES THAT	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct		
PERMEATE ALL ASPECTS OF SERVICE		information indicating how the program assesses		
DELIVERY: Consultation and		consultation and collaboration. Courses and course-		
Collaboration: School psychologists		embedded assessments include information from PSY		
have knowledge of varied methods of		604, 749, and 609.		
consultation, collaboration, and		, ,		
communication applicable to		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented		
individuals, families, groups, and		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to		
systems and used to promote effective		consultation and collaboration.		
implementation of services. As part of				
a systematic and comprehensive				
process of effective decision making				
and problem solving that permeates all				
aspects of service delivery, school				
psychologists demonstrate skills to				
consult, collaborate, and communicate				
with others during design,				
implementation, and evaluation of				
services and programs.				
STANDARD IV – DIRECT AND INDIRECT	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct		
SERVICES: STUDENT LEVEL SERVICES		information indicating how the program assesses direct		
School psychologists have knowledge		and indirect services including student level services.		
of direct interventions that focus on				
academic and social/emotional		See elements below for specific course and course-		

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
interventions for children and families.		embedded assessments.
School psychologists engage multi-		
disciplinary teams (including children,		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented
teachers, parents, other school		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to
professionals) to develop and		student level services.
implement academic and mental		
health interventions.		
Element 4.1 Interventions and	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with
Instructional Support to Develop		courses PSY 704, 635, and 745.
Academic Skills: School psychologists		
have knowledge of biological, cultural,		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented
and social influences on academic		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to
skills; human learning, cognitive, and		academic interventions and instructional support.
developmental processes; and		
evidence-based curriculum and		
instructional strategies. School		
psychologists, in collaboration with		
others, demonstrate skills to use		
assessment and data-collection		
methods and to implement and		
evaluate services that support		
cognitive and academic skills.		
Element 4.2 Interventions and Mental	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with
Health Services to Develop Social and		courses PSY 604, 605, and 714.
Life Skills: School psychologists have		
knowledge of biological, cultural,		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented
developmental, and social influences		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to
on behavior and mental health;		social and life skills interventions and mental health
behavioral and emotional impacts on		services.
learning and life skills; and evidence-		
based strategies to promote social—		
emotional functioning and mental		
health. School psychologists, in		
collaboration with others, demonstrate		
skills to use assessment and data-		
collection methods and to implement		
and evaluate services that support		
socialization, learning, and mental		
health.		
STANDARD V – DIRECT AND INDIRECT	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct
SERVICES: SYSTEMS LEVEL SERVICES –		information indicating how the program assesses direct
School psychologists have knowledge		and indirect services including systems level services in
School psychologists have knowledge		schools.
of direct and indirect services that		San alaments halour for anositic source and assure
focus on knowledge of schools and		See elements below for specific course and course-
system structures, and preventive and		embedded assessments.
responsive services. School		Attained. Chronoth of accomments and data musecuted
psychologists implement school-wide		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented
practices to promote learning and		provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
knowledge of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors.		student level services in schools.
Promote Learning: School psychologists have knowledge of school and systems structure, organization, and theory; general and special education; technology resources; and evidence-based school practices that promote academic outcomes, learning, social development, and mental health. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to develop and implement practices and strategies to create and maintain effective and supportive learning environments for children and others.	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with courses PSY 616, 745, and 759. Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to school-wide practices to promote learning.
Element 5.2 Preventive and Responsive Services: School psychologists have knowledge of principles and research related to resilience and risk factors in learning and mental health, services in schools and communities to support multitiered prevention, and evidence- based strategies for effective crisis response. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate skills to promote services that enhance learning, mental health, safety, and physical well-being through protective and adaptive factors and to implement effective crisis preparation, response, and recovery.	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with courses PSY 634, 759, and 714. Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to preventive and responsive services.
STANDARD VI – DIRECT AND INDIRECT SERVICES: SYSTEMS LEVEL SERVICES: Family–School Collaboration Services: School psychologists have knowledge of principles and research related to family systems, strengths, needs, and culture; evidence-based strategies to support family influences on children's learning, socialization, and mental health; and methods to develop collaboration between families and schools. School psychologists, in collaboration with others, demonstrate	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct information indicating how the program assesses family-school collaboration. Courses and course-embedded assessments include information from PSY 609, 706, and 714. Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to systems level services.

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
skills to design, implement, and evaluate services that respond to culture and context and facilitate family and school partnership/ interactions with community agencies for enhancement of academic and social—behavioral outcomes for children. STANDARD VII — FOUNDATIONS OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS' SERVICE DELIVERY: Diversity in Development and Learning: School psychologists have knowledge of individual differences, abilities, disabilities, and other diverse characteristics; principles and research related to diversity factors for children, families, and schools, including factors related to culture, context, and individual and role differences; and evidence-based strategies to enhance services and address potential influences related to diversity. School psychologists demonstrate skills to provide professional services that promote effective functioning for individuals, families, and schools with diverse characteristics, cultures, and backgrounds and across multiple contexts, with recognition that an understanding and respect for diversity in development and learning and advocacy for social justice are foundations of all aspects of service	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct information indicating how the program assesses diversity in development and learning. Courses and course-embedded assessments include information from PSY 609, 601, and 602. Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to diversity and learning.
standard VIII – FOUNDATIONS OF SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGISTS' SERVICE	MET	Assessed: Table E provides comprehensive and succinct information indicating how the program assesses
DELIVERY: RESEARCH, PROGRAM EVALUATION, LEGAL, EHTICAL AND PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE		research, program evaluation, legal, ethical, and professional practice in the schools.
School psychologists have core foundational knowledge and experiences and implement practices		See elements below for specific course and course- embedded assessments.
and strategies in research, program evaluation, and legal, ethical and professional practice.		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to foundations of school psychologist services.
Element 8.1 Research and Program Evaluation: School psychologists have	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with courses PSY 632, 704, and 759.

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
knowledge of research design, statistics, measurement, varied data collection and analysis techniques, and program evaluation methods sufficient for understanding research and interpreting data in applied settings. School psychologists demonstrate skills to evaluate and apply research as a foundation for service delivery and, in collaboration with others, use various techniques and technology resources for data collection, measurement, analysis, and program evaluation to support effective practices at the individual, group, and/or systems levels.		Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to research and program evaluation.
Element 8.2 Legal, Ethical, and Professional Practice: School psychologists have knowledge of the history and foundations of school psychology; multiple service models and methods; ethical, legal, and professional standards; and other factors related to professional identity and effective practice as school psychologists. School psychologists demonstrate skills to provide services consistent with ethical, legal, and professional standards; engage in responsive ethical and professional decision-making; collaborate with other professionals; and apply professional work characteristics needed for effective practice as school psychologists, including respect for human diversity and social justice, communication skills, effective interpersonal skills, responsibility, adaptability, initiative, dependability,	Acceptable	Assessed: The program assesses this element with courses PSY 650, 601, and 616. Attained: Strength of assessments and data presented provide evidence candidates acquire skills related to legal, ethical and professional practice.
and technology skills. STANDARD IX - PRACTICA AND INTERNSHIP IN SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY During systematic, comprehensive practica and internship experiences consistent with its goals and objectives, the school psychology program ensures that all candidates demonstrate application of knowledge and professional skills in relevant	MET	There were no major concerns noted with Standard IX although questions still exist related to candidates' ability to demonstrate measurable positive impact on student learning. The program will need to address standards/elements rated as Not Met, Not Acceptable and Marginal in future submissions.

NASP Standard	Pating	Comment
NASF Standard	Rating	Comment
settings and under conditions of		
appropriate supervision, evaluation,		
and support. The school psychology		
program's practica and internship		
develop and enhance candidates' skills		
and professional characteristics		
needed for effective school psychology		
service delivery; integration of		
competencies across the Standards of		
professional preparation and practice		
outlined in Standards and elements II-		
VIII; and direct, measurable, positive		
impact on children, families, schools,		
and other consumers		
Element 9.1 – Practica: The school	Acceptable	Policy: The Program Handbook provides guidelines for
psychology program requires		practica and internship. The number of credits awarded
supervised practica experiences that		for practica (pp. 32-34) and internship and the
are completed for academic credit or		requirement that all coursework and all practica must be
otherwise documented by the		completed prior to internship are also discussed in the
institution. Practica are distinct from,		Program Handbook (p. 35).
precede, and prepare candidates for		
the school psychology internship and		The appendices L to M in the <i>Program Handbook</i> (pp.
include specific, required activities and		118- 125) include the information required for successful
systematic development and		completion of practica.
evaluation of skills that are consistent		
with goals of the program, emphasize		Practice: Candidate transcripts reveal practice aligns with
human diversity, and are completed in		policy as well as field-based practica evaluation forms.
settings relevant to program objectives		
for development of candidate skills.		
Practica require direct oversight by the		
program to ensure appropriateness of		
the placement, activities, supervision,		
and collaboration with the placement		
sites and practicum supervisors, as well		
as close supervision of candidates by		
program faculty and qualified		
practicum supervisors, including		
appropriate performance-based		
evaluation, to ensure that candidates		
are developing professional work		
characteristics and designated		
competencies.	Accontable	Policy The Drogram Handbook describes the goals of the
Element 9.2 – Internship: The school	Acceptable	Policy: The Program Handbook describes the goals of the
psychology program requires a		program including that the program is designed to meet
comprehensive, supervised, and		the 2010 NASP Practice Standards. It specifies the
carefully evaluated internship in school		responsibilities of the intern, on-site supervisor, training
psychology that represents a		program, and district in providing supervisor, support,
culminating experience in the		and evaluation of the intern's performance.
program's course of study and is		

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
completed for academic credit or otherwise documented by the institution. The internship has a primary emphasis on providing breadth and quality of experiences, attainment of comprehensive school psychology competencies, and integration and application of the full range of school psychology. The internship requires completion of activities and attainment of school psychology competencies that are consistent with the goals and objectives of the program and emphasize human diversity, and provision of professional school psychology services that result in direct, measurable, and positive impact on children, families, schools, and/or other consumers. The internship includes inclusion of both formative and summative performance-based evaluations of interns that are completed by both program faculty and field-based supervisors, are systematic and comprehensive, and ensure that interns demonstrate professional work		Practice: Candidate transcripts reveal practice aligns with policy as well as the field-based internship evaluation form. An internship contract (Attachment I F. Internship Contract) is also provided as well as a sample contract signed by the University Supervisor, On-Site Supervisor, the School Psychology Intern, and two District Administrators.
characteristics and attain competencies needed for effective		
practice as school psychologists. Element 9.3 – Internship Hours: The school psychology program requires that the internship be completed for a sufficient time period and in appropriate settings to achieve program objectives. The internship requires a minimum of 1200 clock hours for specialist-level interns and 1500 clock hours for doctoral-level interns, including a minimum of 600 hours of the internship completed in a school setting. The internship requires a minimum of one academic year for internship, completed on a full-time basis over one year or at least a half-time basis over two consecutive years; and completion in settings relevant to	Acceptable	Policy: The Program Handbook describes the internship requirements, including the number of hours (1200), setting (school-based) and required to be completed on a full-time basis over one year. Practice: The Internship Summary Form (Attachment I G Summary Form) and the two internship logs document candidates complete at least 1200 hours of an internship, and the internship is completed at the end of the program. Important to note that candidate names should be redacted on the internship logs.

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
competencies. The internship requires		
direct oversight by the program to		
ensure appropriateness of the		
placement, activities, supervision, and		
collaboration with the placement sites		
and intern supervisors.		D. I. T. D
Element 9.4 – Intern Supervision: The	Acceptable	Policy: The Program Handbook (p. 38) describes the
school psychology program requires		internship requirements including the number of on-site
that each intern receive appropriate		supervision hours and the credentials required of the on-
and regularly scheduled field-based		site supervisors.
supervision. Field supervision is provided from a school psychologist		Practice: The Internship Summary Form and two
holding the appropriate state school		internship logs document the school setting and number
psychologist credential for practice in a		of supervision hours completed by the last two years of
school setting (If a portion of the		program completers. In addition, the credentials of site
internship is conducted in a another		supervisors are provided on The Internship Summary
setting, as noted in Element 8.3,		Form.
provision of field supervision from a		Tomi.
psychologist holding the appropriate		Sample intern logs reveal supervision occurred but it
state psychology credential for practice		would be beneficial to the review process (and probably
in the internship setting). The		the university supervisor) to have candidates have a
internship requires an average of at		running total of supervision hours on the form.
least two hours of field-based		Talling total of supervision hours on the form.
supervision per full-time week or the		
equivalent for part-time placements,		
and preponderance of field-based		
supervision provided on at least a		
weekly, individual, face-to-face basis,		
with structured mentoring and		
evaluation that focus on the intern's		
attainment of competencies.		
Element 9.5 – Internship Site	Acceptable	Policy: A sample internship contract signed by the
Agreements: The school psychology		University Supervisor, On-Site Supervisor, the School
internship represents a collaboration		Psychology Intern, and two District Administrators is
between the school psychology		provided. It specifies the responsibilities of the intern, on-
program and internship placement		site supervisor, training program, and district in providing
agency that is consistent with program		supervisor, support, and evaluation of the intern's
goals and assures attainment of		performance.
competencies by interns. The		
internship requires a written plan		This form includes, a commitment to the internship as a
specifying collaborative responsibilities		diversified <i>learning</i> experience for the candidate, the
of the school psychology program and		ability of the intern to attain professional competencies
internship site in providing supervision		through carefully supervised activities, a written
and support and ensuring that		agreement that specifies the period of appointment, and
internship objectives are achieved. The		any terms of compensation for the intern and released
internship includes formative and		time for the field based supervisor, and expense
summative performance-based		reimbursement, a safe and secure work environment,
evaluation of intern performance by		adequate office space, and support services for the intern
program faculty and field-based	<u> </u>	consistent with that afforded agency school

NASP Standard	Rating	Comment
supervisors and systematic, clearly articulated methods by which concerns about intern performance and attainment of competencies may be addressed. Appropriate support for the internship by the placement agency is provided, including (a) commitment to the internship as a diversified learning experience for a candidate in a graduate school psychology program and opportunities for the intern to attain professional competencies through carefully supervised activities; (b) a written agreement that specifies the period of appointment and any terms of compensation for the intern and released time for the field based supervisor; (c) expense reimbursement, a safe and secure work environment, adequate office space, and support services for the intern consistent with that afforded agency school psychologists; and (d) provision for the intern's participation in continuing professional development activities.		psychologists. Practice: A masked Internship Contract that is signed by all parties: the University Supervisor, On-Site Supervisor, the School Psychology Intern, and two District Administrators is provided and is consistent with policy.
Element 9.6 – Integration of Skills: The school psychology program employs a systematic, valid process in which program faculty ensure that interns, during their culminating internship experience, demonstrate competencies to begin effective practice as school psychologists. The program includes the integration of elements of knowledge and application of professional skills in school psychology for delivering a comprehensive range of services; and effective school psychology service delivery evidenced by direct, measurable, positive impact on children, families, schools, and other consumers.	Marginal	Policy: The Program Handbook describes the program's requirements for integration of skills. Candidates are required to complete four case studies, one academic, one behavioral, one consultation, and one mental health/counseling. Candidates follow the structure of the NCSP case study development and evaluation. The policy states that candidates are required to demonstrate measureable positive impact with one of the following: goal attainment scaling, percent of non-overlapping data, effect size calculation, comparisons of pre- and post- data, progress monitoring data, individual or group data, or improvement rate difference. Practice: Practice is unclear with respect to whether candidates demonstrate measurable positive impact as opposed to faculty evaluating student case studies and determining measureable positive impact.

PART C-EVALUATION OF PROGRAM REPORT EVIDENCE

C.1—Candidates' knowledge of content. NASP performance-based standards covered in this entry could include (but are not limited to) Standards II - VIII. Comments on the program's assessment/documentation of candidate content knowledge consistent with NASP standards (Assessments 1 and 2):

Assessment 1 (Praxis or state exam): Praxis data were provided for 2 cohorts and revealed 100% of the candidates passed the exam. Data are disaggregated by NASP PracticeStandards and percentage of items passed is provided by student.

Assessment 2 (course-embedded assessment): According to Table E, the program faculty members have done an outstanding job aligning courses the NASP practice standards. The objectives (see below for additional information) are consistent with what one would expect in the courses and the assignments seem well-designed in order to evaluate candidate knowledge. This is a program strength.

In addition, the program requires a comprehensive oral and written examination evaluation. The structure and scoring rubrics appear to be valid and should be viewed as a strength for program evaluation. A note; the 2010 NASP standards requires the use of grades for Assessment 2. Therefore, the program faculty might think about moving this valuable knowledge-based assessment to a supplemental NASP assessment (Assessment 7 or 8).

C.2—Candidates' ability to understand and apply pedagogical and professional content knowledge, skills, and dispositions. Comments on the program's assessment/documentation of candidate skills and professional work characteristics consistent with NASP standards (Assessments 3, 4, and 5):

Assessment 3 (practica evaluation): The program has created a very thorough practicum evaluation sequence including field supervisor ratings and faculty evaluation of projects. The 3-sequence practicum model seems to allow candidates to learn and be evaluated across all NASP practice standards. The field supervisor evaluation forms are comprehensive and also considered a strength.

Note that while program faculty have aligned the three-course practicum sequence with all NASP practice standards, NASP does not require all domains to be measured in Assessment 3.

Assessment 4 (intern evaluations): The program faculty report having a 350-item Internship Field Based Assessment Form that covers all NASP practice standards. This form was not found in any Assessment 4 folders but was located in the Assessment 5 information. The internship field-based form was found in Assessment 5 and reveals a highly comprehensive document that has numerous items align with each of the NASP practice standards. Data are provided that aggregate and disaggregate data and include growth scores from fall to spring semester.

Assessment 5 (comprehensive, performance based assessment of candidate abilities evaluated by faculty during internship): Assessment 5 is very thorough and provides multiple assessments of performance-based assessments of candidate abilities as evaluated by faculty. These include supervisor ratings on the field evaluation form, analysis of internship portfolio by faculty, and faculty evaluation of multiple case studies and assessment reports including a research project and counseling skill ratings during internship. Data are disaggregated by intern and scoring rubrics are provided.

C.3—Candidate effects on P–12 student learning. Comments on the program's assessment/documentation of candidate impact on P-12 students and other clients consistent with NASP standards (Assessment 6):

Assessment 6 (impact on student learning): All candidates must provide the 4 completed case studies in the areas of Behavioral Assessment and Intervention, Academic Assessment and Intervention, Child/Adolescent Counseling and Therapy, and Psychological Consultation in Schools and Agencies. In addition, Interns are expected to

complete a research project designed in conjunction with district needs. Candidates are required to present their research project at the annual Francis Marion University research symposium that is held on each spring semester. In addition, posters that are deemed to have potential are entered for presentation at the annual NASP covention. It is commendable that interns complete 4 case studies during their internship year as well as a research project. Further, based on the case study evaluation rubric, there is an expectation for interns to calculate some form of an effect size.

The concern with this Assessment is that is it appears that faculty members evaluate the case studies using a series of comprehensive case study evaluation rubrics. Thus, it is unclear as to whether interns calculate effect size on their case studies or if faculty evaluate the cases using the rubric and then they calculate effect size. The intent of Assessment 6 is for interns to provide evidence of positive impact, which is typically done through some summary statistic applicable to the type of case study.

PART D-EVALUATION OF THE USE OF ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Evidence that assessment results are evaluated and applied to the improvement of candidates and/or strengthening of the program (as outlined and discussed in Section V of the program report):

The program gathers sufficient data, especially with the optional assessments 7 and 8 that can be used for program improvement. Narratives provide in conjunction with assessments program faculty used these data for candidate improvement.

PART E—AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENT

LEAVE BLANK

PART F—ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (Optional)

- F.1—Comments on topics not covered in previous sections:
- F.2—Concerns for possible follow-up by the CAEP/NCATE Board of Examiners:

PART G—TERMS AND SUBSEQUENT ACTIONS FOR DECISIONS¹ (TO BE COMPLETED BY PROGRAM APPROVAL BOARD ONLY)

☑ Program is NASP approved and (if in a unit that is CAEP/NCATE accredited) CAEP/NCATE nationally recognized. The program is expected to submit a full application no later than September 15, 2023 or three years prior to the provider's next site visit.

The program will be listed as NASP approved in NASP websites and/or publications. During the period of NASP approval, graduates of the program will automatically qualify for national certification contingent on a passing score on the Praxis II examination in school psychology and documentation of the completion of an internship consistent with NASP standards. If in a unit accredited by CAEP/NCATE, the program will also be designated as CAEP/NCATE nationally recognized on websites and/or other publications of CAEP/NCATE. *Subsequent action by the institution:* None. Nationally approved or recognized programs may not file revised reports addressing any unmet standards or areas for improvement.

$\hfill \square$ Program is NASP conditionally approved and (if in	a unit that is CAEP/NCATE accredited) CAEP/NCATE
nationally recognized with conditions for the period	through

¹ If the decision is "recognized with conditions," the box at the bottom of Section G must specify the conditions or issues to be addressed in the follow-up report from the institution.

The program will be listed as NASP conditionally approved in NASP websites and/or publications. During the period of NASP conditional approval, graduates of the program will automatically qualify for national certification contingent on a passing score on the Praxis II examination in school psychology and documentation of the completion of an internship consistent with NASP standards. If in a unit accredited by CAEP/NCATE, the program will also be designated as CAEP/NCATE nationally recognized with conditions on websites and/or other publications of CAEP/NCATE.

Subsequent action by the institution: To retain approval/recognition, a report addressing the conditions must be submitted within 18 months of the date of this report, no later than ________. The report must address the conditions specified in the box below. Failure to submit a report by the date specified above will result in loss of national approval/recognition.

| Program is not nationally approved/recognized. Programs granted approval/recognition from a prior review will lose approval/recognition at the end of the previous approval/recognition period, unless a revised program report is successfully submitted by the deadline in or before that semester.

Subsequent action by the institution: A revised report, addressing unmet standards, may be submitted by _______.

The institution may submit a new program report at any time. In states that require CAEP/NCATE program review, another program report must be submitted before the next CAEP/NCATE accreditation visit.

For further information on due dates or requirements, contact the chair of the NASP Program Approval Board or program review staff at CAEP/NCATE (202-466-7496).

□ National approval/recognition with conditions: The following conditions must be addressed within 18 months (see above for specific date):

- The program must meet the NASP standards rated as Not Met. The program's response to conditions report must document the program's compliance with each NASP standard rated above as Not Met and must address comments noted for each standard rated as Not Met, as well as other concerns noted in the current national recognition report.
- The program's conditional report must be submitted online and contain ALL required materials to document compliance with each NASP standard rated as Not Met. Thus, to document that the program is in compliance with standards rated as Not Met the program's conditional report must include required sections and attachments as outlined in the standard NASP/CAEP/NCATE online program report form and in instructions for NASP online program submissions at the time of the program's submission of the conditional report, located at http://nasponline.org/standards/approvedtraining/training_program.aspx.
- The program must ASSESS, and ATTAIN domains listed in NASP Standards II to VIII. In addition to providing all other sections of the required NASP/CAEP/NCATE online report form to provide evidence of the program's compliance with NASP standards currently rated as Not Met, the program's conditional report must include specific required documentation that domains are ASSESSED in program required coursework and other experience (Attachment E). Further, the program must provide specific required documentation for Section IV-Assessments 1-6 in order to provide evidence of program ASSESSMENT methods and candidate ATTAINMENT relative to the standards. Important information about required Assessments 1-6 and documentation that must be submitted by programs is located in the NASP/CAEP/NCATE online report form. The required program assessment and candidate attainment documentation is as follows (except for Assessment 1-National or State Exam, which has additional requirements) and should be submitted online as part of the conditional report:
- 1. A brief description of the assessment and its use in the program;
- 2. A description of how this assessment specifically aligns with each domain it is cited for in Section III,
- 3. A brief analysis of the data findings,
- 4. An interpretation of how that data provides evidence for meeting each domain it is cited for in Section III, and
- 5. Documentation for each assessment, including:
- (a) The assessment TOOL or description of the assignment;
- (b) The SCORING GUIDE for the assessment; and

(c) Aggregated candidate DATA derived from the assessment, with aggregated data specific to each NASP domain that it assesses.