# INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS REPORT

6.22.22

Name of Program/Department:	FMU Honors Program	
Year:	2021-2022	
Name of Preparer:	Dr. Jon Tuttle	

## PROGRAM MISSION STATEMENT

FMU Honors exists to provide the university's highest-performing and most motivated students with a unique curriculum and enhanced educational opportunities that reward inquiry, stimulate learning, and promote community outreach initiatives. FMU Honors reflects the university's commitment to innovative instruction, a low student-to-faculty ratio, and out-of-classroom service and experience.

There are, at present, 222 students in FMU Honors.

# PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

#### **FMU Honors will:**

- PLO 1: Identify, recruit, retain and graduate high-performing, highly motivated students.
- **PLO 2:** Promote opportunities for stimulating service learning and volunteerism.
- **PLO 3:** Provide Honors students with opportunities for conference and/or exposition participation.
- **PLO 4:** Provide Honors students with non-traditional curricular opportunities and small student: instructor ratios in order to better prepare Honors graduates for professional/graduate schools or career opportunities.
- PLO 5: Provide Honors students with opportunities for socializing and community building.
- **PLO 6:** To provide an environment conducive to personal growth, intellectual inquiry and community.

# STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (SLOs)

- **SLO 1:** FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be able to conduct and synthesize sophisticated and applicable research in their disciplines. This SLO falls under PLO #4.
- SLO 2: FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be able to clearly articulate

ideas and concepts in writing. This SLO falls under PLO #4.

- **SLO 3:** FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be able to clearly articulate ideas and concepts via graphics or visual aids, as applicable. This SLO falls under PLO #4.
- **SLO 4:** FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be able to contribute knowledge to their discipline. This SLO falls under PLO #4.
- **SLO 5:** FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be able to integrate knowledge and perspectives from different disciplines. This SLO falls under PLO #4.
- **SLO 6:** FMU Honors students graduating With University Honors will be engaged and empowered as critical and creative thinkers. This SLO falls under PLO #4.

#### **PLO METHODS**

- **PLO 1:** The Honors director will track and chart enrollment and graduation rates.
- **PLO 2** will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.
- **PLO 3** will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.
- **PLO 4** will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.
- **PLO 5** will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.
- **PLO 6** will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

#### **SLO METHODS**

Baselines and Benchmarks are indicated in table #2 below.

- **SLO 1:** be able to conduct and synthesize sophisticated and applicable research in their disciplines. A scoring rubric distributed to faculty readers of Honors theses (typically three per thesis) at the end of each semester will assess the extent to which writers of Honors theses meet this SLO. This is a direct measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement (score points 4 or 5 on rubric).
- **SLO 2:** be able to clearly articulate ideas and concepts in writing. A scoring rubric distributed to faculty readers of Honors theses (typically three per thesis) at the end of each semester will assess the extent to which writers of Honors theses meet this SLO. This is a direct measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement (score points 4 or 5 on rubric).
- SLO 3: be able to clearly articulate ideas and concepts vis graphics or visual aids, as applicable. A scoring rubric distributed to faculty readers of Honors theses (typically three per thesis) at the end of each semester will assess the extent to which writers of Honors theses meet this SLO. This is a direct measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement (score points 4 or 5 on rubric).
- **SLO 4: be able to contribute knowledge to their discipline.** A scoring rubric distributed to faculty readers of Honors theses (typically three per thesis) at the end of each semester will assess the extent to which writers of Honors theses meet this SLO. This is a direct measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement (score points 4 or 5 on rubric).
- SLO 5: be able to integrate knowledge and perspectives from different disciplines. A question on the Honors Senior Exit Survey\* will assess graduate's self-perceived ability to meet this SLO. This is an indirect measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% Positive Endorsement, as indicated by responses of "agree" or "strongly agree." This is an indirect measure.
- **SLO 6:** be engaged and empowered as critical and creative thinkers. A question on the Honors Senior Exit Survey\* will assess graduate's self-perceived ability to meet this SLO. This is an indirect measure. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% Positive Endorsement, as indicated by responses of "agree" or "strongly agree." This is an indirect measure.
  - \*All FMU Honors seniors graduating with or without University Honors are sent the Senior Exit Survey and encouraged to submit it. Typically, about 40-60% of the  $\sim$ 30 graduating seniors do so.

#### **PLO RESULTS**

#### PLO I:

- Admission thresholds to FMU Honors changed last academic year (2020-21) owing to COVID-related problems administering SAT/ACT tests and in keeping with changing trends in university admissions. Students are now invited but not required to send test scores; SAT scores of 1160 and SAT scores of 24 are still automatic admission thresholds, but students are also invited to send GPA's, class ranks, resumes, whatever they feel best describes them as worthy of FMU Honors. Admission decisions are now therefore made more holistically.
- The 2014 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 88 students; the 2015 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 79 students; the 2016 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 78 students. The 2017 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 71 students. The 2018 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 65 students. The 2019 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 54 students, though this number excluded, for the first time, those who were accepted but did not enroll at FMU. The 2020 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 48 students--again, not counting those who were accepted but did not enroll. The 2021 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 59 students--not counting those accepted but not enrolled.
- The numbers of Graduates "With University Honors" each semester since Spring, 2015 are as follows:

Spring 2015:	8	Fall 2015:	2
Spring 2016:	10	Fall 2016:	4
Spring 2017:	16	Fall 2017:	3
Spring 2018:	13	Fall 2018:	0
Spring 2019:	9	Fall 2019:	3
Spring 2020:	11	Fall 2020	2
Spring 2021	11	Fall 2021	1
Spring 2022	12		

#### PLO 2-6:

The exit survey was administered following Fall 2021 and Spring 2022 to graduating Honors seniors. The benchmark for each item is 80% positive endorsement, as indicated by responses of A/Strongly Agree or B/Agree. The results were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix I).

Of the 22 responses collected in 2021-2022, 18 positive endorsements were necessary to reach the 80% benchmark.

SCORE POINT	A strongly agree	B agree	C no opinion	D disagree	E strongly disagree
PLO 2	13	8	1	0	0
PLO 3	11	8	3	0	0
PLO 4	17	3	1	1	0
PLO 5	14	6	0	2	0

PLO 6 14 5 3 0 0

#### **PLO 2-6 BASELINE AND BENCHMARK PERCENTAGES**

PLO	2019- 2020	2020- 2021	BASELINE Avg. 2018-19 and 2019-20 Academic years	BENCHMARK 2021-22	LONG TERM TARGET 2023	Results 2021-22
2	77.7%	80%	78.85%	80%	85%	95%
3	55.5%	90%	72.75%	80%	85%	86%
4	88.8%	90%	89.4%	80%	90%	91%
5	88.8%	95%	91.9%	80%	90%	91%
6	88.8%	95%	91.9%	80%	90%	86%

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The data suggest that, despite the inconveniences created by COVID, FMU Honors continues to fulfill its mission. Students again report that participating in FMU Honors has prepared them for professional or graduate education, enhanced their awareness of the value of their education beyond own career path, and provided them with significant research opportunities. It has also presented opportunities for socializing and community building and provided a physical and intellectual environment conducive to personal growth, safety and intellectual inquiry.

The opening of the new Honors Center continues to enhance Honors' resources and facilities and impact recruitment. For this reason, apparently, the previous downward trends in freshman cohorts have been reversed.

#### **SLO RESULTS**

#### **ASSESSMENT RESULTS SLO 1-4**

The scoring rubric was administered following Fall, 2021 and Spring, 2022 among readers of Honors theses. The results were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix II):

SCORE POINT	1 poor	2 minimally acceptable	3 satisfactory	4 good	5 excellent
SLO 1	0	1	3	6	21
SLO 2	0	1	2	11	17
SLO 3	0	1	4	10	16
SLO 4	0	1	4	10	16

Of the 31 responses recorded as of June 1, 2022, 25 positive endorsements (score points of 4 or 5) were necessary to reach the 80% target.

#### **ASSESSMENT RESULTS SLO 5-6**

The results for 2021-22 were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix II):

SCORE POINT	A strongly agree	B agree	C no opinion	D disagree	E strongly disagree
SLO 5	14	6	1	1	0
SLO 6	17	5	0	0	0

Of the 22 responses collected in 2021-2022, 18 positive endorsements were necessary to reach the 80% benchmark.

#### **SLO BASELINE AND BENCHMARK PERCENTAGES**

SLO	2019- 2020	2020- 2021	BASELINE Avg. 2018-19 and 2019-20 Academic years	BENCHMARK 2021-22	LONG TERM TARGET 2023	Results 2021-22
1	73.9%	82.6%	78.25%	80%	90%	87%
2	91.3%	86.9%	89.1%	80%	90%	90%
3	86.9%	82.6%	84.75%	80%	90%	83%

4	65.2%	75%	70.1%	80%	90%	83%
5	88.9%	95%	91.95%	80%	95%	90%
6	88.9%	95%	91.95%	80%	95%	86%

#### **ACTION ITEMS**

- **SLO 1** (87%): This item surpassed the benchmark of 80% and the baseline average from previous years (78.25). It remained true, however, that students anecdotally reported difficult pursuing/completing Honors theses during the 2021-22 academic year, owing to the COVID-19 measures. The Honors Director included, as a result of previous years' shortfalls, the wording for SLO 1 and indeed all the SLO's in his instructions for students starting their Honors theses, the idea being to heighten their awareness of the criteria being assessed. This appears to have worked.
- **SLO 2** (90%): Faculty readers of theses reported other problems in Honors theses, but clearly articulating ideas and concepts in theses appears not to among them. The average on this item this year exceeded the 80% benchmark and the previous 89.1 baseline for the previous two academic years. The Honors Director included the wording for all the SLO's in his instructions for students starting their Honors theses, the idea being to heighten their awareness of the criteria being assessed. This appears to have worked.
- **SLO 3** (83%) Though the average for this item was below the baseline for the previous two academic years (84.75), it did exceed the 80% benchmark. The Honors Director included the wording for all the SLO's in his instructions for students starting their Honors theses, the idea being to heighten their awareness of the criteria being assessed. For this SLO, only marginal improvement was achieved.
- **SLO 4** (83%): This item surpassed of the 80% benchmark and our baseline average from previous years (70.1). The Honors Director included the wording for all the SLO's in his instructions for students starting their Honors theses, the idea being to heighten their awareness of the criteria being assessed. This appears to have worked.
- **SLO 5** (90%): Student responses to the Senior Exit Survey indicated positive endorsement of their ability to integrate knowledge from different disciplines. The average on this item this year exceeded the 80% benchmark but not the previous two years' baseline average (91.95%). No action anticipated beyond monitoring future responses.
- **SLO 6** (86%): Student responses to the Senior Exit Survey indicated positive endorsement of their engagement and empowerment as critical/creative thinkers. The average on this item this year exceeded the 80% benchmark but not the previous two years' baseline average (91.95%). No action anticipated beyond monitoring future responses.

\*\*\*\*\*

- PLO 1: Each year's cohort size declined somewhat (from 88 in 2014 to 48 in 2020, though the latter number was calculated differently); in the past two years, however, cohort sizes have increased and exceeded or approached the goal cohort size of 75. This is likely owing to Honors' taking up residency in the new Honors Center. The number of students graduating With University Honors continues to zig in the fall and zag in the spring, owing to the traditional academic cycle. Certainly, the program remains more robust than before it was overhauled in 2014, when 4-6 students per year graduated With University Honors. In 2021-22, for instance, 13 students graduated WUH, which is the same as the previous year and only one fewer than the year before that. To facilitate recruitment and retention, we have recently updated our Honors brochure for AY 2022-23, as well as our Honors Handbook which stipulates policies and procedures; these are included in acceptance packets sent to incoming Honors freshmen.
- **PLO 2:** This PLO was improved upon rather remarkably (from 80% to 95%) thanks in part to the spring 2022 Honors 203 Service Symposium, although that does not account for such a sharp spike, as Honors was once again hindered in its service opportunities by COVID. The pandemic having apparently lifted enough to allow it, the HSAC will plan more inperson service opportunities for academic year 2022-23.
- **PLO 3:** This PLO was down from the previous year (90% to 86%) owing probably to conference/exposition opportunities hindered by COVID. The RED exposition day, however, was held in person and presented an excellent opportunity for students to showcase their research. As COVID lifts, we hope, Honors will continue to encourage participation not just in RED but in other conferences, such as the Southern Regional Honors Council conference.
- **PLO's 4:** This PLO improved upon the previous year's average (75% to 83%), probably because teaching returned (mostly) to in-person classes, rather than online. The variety of course topics continues to change from semester to semester. No action anticipated beyond monitoring future responses.
- PLO's 5 and 6: Both of these PLO's are down from the previous year, though still well above the benchmark of 80%. Extra-curricular opportunities (PLO 5) were no doubt affected by restrictions necessitated by COVID-response measures, as perhaps were opportunities to build and maintain a cohesive and healthy environment for Honors students. No action action anticipated beyond monitoring future responses.

#### **APPENDICES**

I: Honors Graduate exit survey

II: Rubric for Honors thesis committee

# FMU HONORS EXIT SURVEY 7.17

Congratulations, Honors graduate! Please complete the survey below by circling the answer you think most appropriate, and return this form to Dr. Jon Tuttle at your earliest convenience; it is important to our (required) Institutional Effectiveness reports. You may bring it in person (FH 146), email it as a PDF to <a href="mailto:jtuttle@fmarion.edu">jtuttle@fmarion.edu</a>, or mail it to the address above.

NAME:	DATE OF GRADUATION							
1: SERVICE LEARNING & VOLUNTEERISM: to what extent do you agree that participating in FMU Honors has enhanced the value of your education as it impacts the community and culture beyond your own career path?								
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
		hat extent do you agree the ticipating/presenting in l		MU Honors has provided you onal conferences?				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
	pportunities and	hat extent do you agree smaller student/instruct opportunities?		•				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
		<b>5:</b> to what extent do you as appropriate to its goal	_	er and variety of social or ing?				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
environment conduciv	e to personal gro	ou agree that FMU Hond wth, safety, intellectual i housing/living space, the	inquiry and communit	y? You may include, in				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
		at extent do you agree t es from different disciplir		ors has enhanced your ability				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				
7: CRITICAL/CREATIVE empowered you as crit		/hat extent do you agree thinker?	that participating in F	Honors has engaged and				
A: strongly agree	B: agree	C: no opinion	D: disagree	E: strongly disagree				

# HONORS INDEPENDENT STUDY THESIS ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Thank you for serving as study director or secondary reader on an Honors Independent Study thesis. Please complete the following rubric, which will be used for Honors program assessment as one measure of program effectiveness after having removed any personal information (your name, the student's name) from the final assessment report. Please return this rubric to Jon Tuttle (FH 146/ jtuttle@fmarion.edu) as soon as convenient. Check the boxes that correspond with your assessment below.

STUDENT'S NAME	 		 
SEMESTER		_	
YOUR NAME		_	

Category	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Satisfactory (3)	Minimally	Poor (1)
				Acceptable (2)	
Ability to	Writer performs	Research	Research	Research component	Research
conduct and	thorough, perhaps	component is	component	exists, but seems	component is
synthesize	exhaustive research,	impressive and clearly	demonstrates diligence and	cursory or rushed; ability to	unimpressive, even lazy; sources
-	incorporates	demonstrates	competence;	conciliate/integrate	are integrated
sophisticated	authoritative	aptitude for	integration of	sources is spotty at	clumsily or are
and applicable	sources	conciliating various	sources is	best; bibliographical	underutilized;
research in	meaningfully and	reliable sources;	occasionally	format includes some	format is marred
discipline	gracefully; bibliographical	bibliographical format is almost	problematic but not prohibitively	errors.	by thoughtless errors.
	format is perfect.	perfect.	so; format is		E11015.
	Taring is periodi.	F	acceptable.		
Ability to	Writing is elegant,	Writing is clear and	The writing is	Extracting meaning	Writing actually
clearly	incisive,	almost never	adequate to the	from the writing	impedes content
articulate ideas	economical, and	impedes	task of conveying sometimes	sometimes proves	and impacts writer's credibility;
	conveys content in professional	conveyance of content: most	complex material;	problematic; writer sometimes struggles	grammatical
and concepts in	manner; writer	sentences are	the writer seems	for clarity or commits	errors or clarity
writing	understands	perfectly	only rarely to	distracting	problems recur
	appropriate tone	comprehensible on	struggle with clarity	grammatical errors.	throughout.
	and ethos.	the first read.	or concision.		
Ability to	Presentation is aided significantly	Presentation is reasonably aided by	Presentation under-utilizes	Utilization of graphics/visual aids is	Presentation is actually marred by
clearly	by appropriate	well-presented	graphics/visual	either so minimal or	under or over-
articulate ideas	reliance on	graphics/visual aids,	aids, or they	unhelpful as to be	utilization of visual
and concepts	graphics/visual	as applicable.	facilitate only	unnecessary or it	aids; they
via graphics or	aids; writer is very		minimally the	neither impedes nor	contribute nothing
visual aids, as	adept at reinforcing ideas/content with		conveyance of content.	contributes to conveyance of	of substance and even occasionally
applicable	graphics.		22	content.	detract.
	Thesis ably joins	Thesis synthesizes	Thesis evinces an	Thesis evinces only	Thesis makes no
Extent to which	conversation in	relevant ideas in	awareness of	Thesis evinces only some awareness of	attempt to
thesis	applicable	useful manner and	relevant issues in	issues/ developments	contribute original
contributes to	discipline and	contributes	discipline and	in discipline and makes	ideas or
knowledge in	meaningfully	somewhat to the	makes an attempt	only a meager attempt	knowledge to
discipline	contributes original knowledge or	conversation in the	to address those	to contribute original ideas or knowledge.	discipline.
	knowledge or ideas.	discipline.	issues through synthesis or	ideas of Kilowiedge.	
			original analysis.		