PROGRAM MISSION STATEMENT

FMU Honors exists to provide the university’s highest-performing and most motivated students with a unique curriculum and enhanced educational opportunities that reward inquiry, stimulate learning and promote community outreach initiatives. FMU Honors reflects the university’s commitment to innovative instruction, a low student-to-faculty ratio, and out-of-classroom service and experience.

(FMU Honors succeeded the FMU Honors program in August, 2014, the primary difference being a membership model, as opposed to the previous membership model, which reduced membership from 800~ students to 250~.)

PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES (PLOs)

FMU Honors will:

PLO 1: Identify, recruit, retain and graduate high-performing, highly motivated students.

PLO 2: Promote opportunities for stimulating service learning and volunteerism. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

PLO 3: Provide Honors students with opportunities for conference and/or exposition participation. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

PLO 4: Provide Honors students with non-traditional curricular opportunities and small student: instructor ratios in order to better prepare Honors graduates for professional/graduate schools or career opportunities. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

PLO 5: Provide Honors students with opportunities for socializing and community building. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

PLO 6: To provide an environment conducive to personal growth, intellectual inquiry and community. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.
ASSESSMENT METHODS
PLO 1 will be tracked by the Honors director. PLOs 2-6 will be assessed with an exit survey administered to all graduating Honors students (and not just those graduating With University Honors). The benchmark for each item is 80% Positive Endorsement.

ASSESSMENT RESULTS: PLO I:
- Admission thresholds to FMU Honors remain constant from before fall 2014: test scores of 1100 SAT (re-centered at 1160) or 24 ACT, or other credentials presented by the applicants.
- The 2014 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 88 students; the 2015 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 79 students; the 2016 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 78 students. The 2017 Honors freshman cohort consisted of 71 students.
- The numbers of Graduates “With University Honors” each semester since Spring, 2015 are as follows:
  - Spring 2015: 8
  - Fall 2015: 2
  - Spring 2016: 10
  - Fall 2016: 4
  - Spring 2017: 16
  - Fall 2017: 3
  - Spring 2018: 13

ASSESSMENT RESULTS: PLO 2-6
The exit survey was administered following Fall 2017 and Spring 2018 to graduating Honors students. The benchmark for each item is 80% positive endorsement. The results were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE POINT</th>
<th>A strongly agree</th>
<th>B agree</th>
<th>C no opinion</th>
<th>D disagree</th>
<th>E strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLO 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLO 3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLO 4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLO 5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLO 6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTALS</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 8 responses collected in 2017-18, 6.4 positive endorsements (score points of A or B) were necessary to reach the 80% target. The following are results for each SLO:

- PLO 2: 100%
- PLO 3: 100%
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT
Though the survey sample was small, each of the PLO’s was achieved at 100%. Each cohort’s enrollment has remained approximately constant, while the number of students graduating with University Honors has increased somewhat, and while enrollment has remained well within the 16:1 student:instructor ratio. Students report, sometimes overwhelmingly, that participating in FMU Honors has prepared them for professional or graduate education, enhanced their awareness of the value of their education beyond own career path, and provided them with significant research opportunities. It has also presented opportunities for socializing and community building and provided a physical and intellectual environment conducive to personal growth, safety and intellectual inquiry.
STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES (SLOs)

FMU Honors graduates will:

SLO 1: be able to conduct and synthesize sophisticated and applicable research in their disciplines. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

SLO 2: be able to clearly articulate ideas and concepts in writing. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

SLO 3: be able to clearly articulate ideas and concepts via graphics or visual aids, as applicable. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

SLO 4: be able to contribute knowledge to their discipline. The target for the outcome is 80% positive endorsement.

ASSESSMENT METHODS

A scoring rubric distributed to faculty mentors/readers of Honors theses readers (typically three per thesis) will assess the extent to which writers of Honors theses achieved each of the above. The benchmark for the outcome is 80% Positive Endorsement (score points 4 or 5 on rubric).

ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The scoring rubric was administered following Fall, 2017 and Spring, 2018 among readers of Honors theses. The results were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix II):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE POINT</th>
<th>1 poor</th>
<th>2 minimally acceptable</th>
<th>3 satisfactory</th>
<th>4 good</th>
<th>5 excellent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLO 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>11</strong></td>
<td><strong>42</strong></td>
<td><strong>61</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 32 responses recorded as of June 1, 2018, 25.6 positive endorsements (score points of 4 or 5) were necessary to reach the 80% target. The following are results for each SLO:

- SLO 1: 83.2%
- SLO 2: 92.8%
- SLO 3: 80%
- SLO 4: 76.8%
ACTION ITEMS

FMU Honors graduates will:

SLO 4: be able to contribute knowledge to their discipline as measured by an exit survey at the 76.8% positive endorsement level. Since our goal was 80%, this target was not achieved. The Program plans to make the following changes during the 2018-19 academic year:

A) Ensure more reliable data by collecting rubrics from more readers, this year being the second year such rubrics were collected.

B) Incorporate this criterion (“contribute knowledge in their discipline”) in the formal guidelines for theses.

C) Encourage thesis readers to hold students thesis writers to higher standards pertaining to content in-discipline.

Following IE assessment for 2016-2017, the Honors Program added the following questions to the 2017-2018 Honors Exit Survey. Those SLOs and assessment methods are as follows:

SLO 5: be able to integrate knowledge and perspectives from different disciplines. The target for the outcome is 80% Positive Endorsement.. This is an indirect measure.

SLO 6: be engaged and empowered as critical and creative thinkers. The target for the outcome is 80% Positive Endorsement. This is an indirect measure.

ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The scoring rubric was administered following Fall, 2017 and Spring, 2018 among readers of Honors theses. The results were as follows (questions and rubric are attached in Appendix II):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCORE POINT</th>
<th>A strongly agree</th>
<th>B agree</th>
<th>C no opinion</th>
<th>D disagree</th>
<th>E strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLO 7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLO 8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 8 responses collected in 2017-18, 6.4 positive endorsements (score points of A or B) were necessary to reach the 80% target. The following are results for each SLO:

SLO 7 100%
SLO 8 100%
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF REPORT
These data evince improvement in all areas over AY 2016-17, a momentum which may be hard to maintain. Still, this evidence suggests strongly that Honors is fulfilling its mission.

APPENDICES
I: Honors Graduate exit survey
II: Rubric for Honors thesis committee
APPENDIX I: PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOMES

FMU HONORS EXIT SURVEY

Congratulations, Honors graduate! Please complete the survey below by circling the answer you think most appropriate, and return this form to Dr. Jon Tuttle at your earliest convenience; it is important to our (required) Institutional Effectiveness reports. You may bring it in person (FH 146), email it as a PDF to jtuttle@fmarion.edu, or mail it to the address above.

NAME:_________________________________________  DATE OF GRADUATION________________

1: SERVICE LEARNING & VOLUNTEERISM: to what extent do you agree that participating in FMU Honors has enhanced your awareness of the value of your education as it impacts the community and culture beyond your own career path?

A: strongly agree      B: agree      C: no opinion      D: disagree      E: strongly disagree

2: PROFESSIONAL, CONFERENCE or EXPOSITION OPPORTUNITIES: to what extent do you agree that participating in FMU Honors provided you with research opportunities such as participating/presenting in local, regional or national conferences?

A: strongly agree      B: agree      C: no opinion      D: disagree      E: strongly disagree

3: CURRICULAR OPPORTUNITIES: to what extent do you agree that FMU Honors has provided you with non-traditional curricular opportunities and smaller student/instructor ratios in order to better prepare you for professional/graduate schools or career opportunities?

A: strongly agree      B: agree      C: no opinion      D: disagree      E: strongly disagree

4 EXTRA-CURRICULAR OPPORTUNITIES: to what extent do you agree that the number and variety of social and cultural events sponsored by Honors was appropriate to its goals of socializing and community-building?

A: strongly agree      B: agree      C: no opinion      D: disagree      E: strongly disagree

5 ENVIRONMENT: to what extent do you agree that FMU Honors has provided you with a physical environment conducive to personal growth, safety, intellectual inquiry and community? You may include, in your answer, considerations of student housing/living space, the Honors room and other classrooms.

A: strongly agree      B: agree      C: no opinion      D: disagree      E: strongly disagree


Thank you for serving as study director or secondary reader on an Honors Independent Study thesis. Please complete the following rubric, which will be used for Honors program assessment as one measure of program effectiveness after having removed any personal information (your name, the student’s name) from the final assessment report. Please return this rubric to Jon Tuttle (FH 146/jtuttle@fmarion.edu) as soon as convenient. Check the boxes that correspond with your assessment below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Excellent (5)</th>
<th>Very Good (4)</th>
<th>Satisfactory (3)</th>
<th>Minimally Acceptable (2)</th>
<th>Poor (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to conduct and synthesize sophisticated and applicable research in discipline</td>
<td>Writer performs thorough, perhaps exhaustive research, incorporates authoritative sources meaningfully and gracefully; bibliographical format is perfect.</td>
<td>Research component is impressive and clearly demonstrates diligence and competence; integration of sources is occasionally problematic but not prohibitively so; format is almost perfect.</td>
<td>Research component exists, but seems cursory or rushed; ability to conciliate/integrate sources is spotty at best; bibliographical format includes some errors.</td>
<td>Research component is unimpressive, even lazy; sources are integrated clumsily or are underutilized; format is marred by thoughtless errors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to clearly articulate ideas and concepts in writing</td>
<td>Writing is elegant, incisive, economical, and conveys content in professional manner; writer understands appropriate tone and ethos.</td>
<td>Writing is clear and almost never impedes conveyance of content; most sentences are perfectly comprehensible on the first read.</td>
<td>The writing is adequate to the task of conveying sometimes complex material; the writer seems only rarely to struggle with clarity or concision.</td>
<td>Extracting meaning from the writing sometimes proves problematic; writer sometimes struggles for clarity or commits distracting grammatical errors.</td>
<td>Writing actually impedes content and impacts writer's credibility; grammatical errors or clarity problems recur throughout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to clearly articulate ideas and concepts via graphics or visual aids, as applicable</td>
<td>Presentation is aided significantly by appropriate reliance on graphics/visual aids; writer is very adept at reinforcing ideas/content with graphics.</td>
<td>Presentation is reasonably aided by well-presented graphics/visual aids, as applicable.</td>
<td>Presentation under-utilizes graphics/visual aids, or they facilitate only minimally the conveyance of content.</td>
<td>Utilization of graphics/visual aids is either so minimal or unhelpful as to be unnecessary or it neither impedes nor contributes to conveyance of content.</td>
<td>Presentation is actually marred by under or over-utilization of visual aids; they contribute nothing of substance and even occasionally detract.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent to which thesis contributes to knowledge in discipline</td>
<td>Thesis ably joins conversation in applicable discipline and meaningfully contributes original knowledge or ideas.</td>
<td>Thesis synthesizes relevant ideas in useful manner and contributes somewhat to the conversation in the discipline.</td>
<td>Thesis evinces an awareness of relevant issues in discipline and makes an attempt to address those issues through</td>
<td>Thesis evinces only some awareness of issues/developments in discipline and makes only a meager attempt to contribute original ideas or knowledge.</td>
<td>Thesis makes no attempt to contribute original ideas or knowledge to discipline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>synthesis or original analysis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>