

ASSESSMENT PLAN PERIOD: July 1, 2018 - June 30, 2019

DEPARTMENTAL MISSION STATEMENT: The Provost is the chief academic officer of Francis Marion University and with the assistance of the associate provosts, school deans, and department chairs, oversees the undergraduate and graduate programs of the University. The Center for Academic Success and Advisement (CASA) as well as the Grants Development office also report to the Office of the Provost. From http://www.fmarion.edu/academics/provost

Goals	Desired Outcomes	Assessment Methods and Procedures	Results	Planned Improvements Based on Assessment Results
1. Be chief advocate and leader of the academic mission at Francis Marion University to serve the state and the Pee Dee region with high quality higher education at both the undergraduate as well as graduate level.	relationships with the President, Deans, Chairs, Trustees, Vice Presidents, and other key leaders across the university and promote communication about the university's current status and future plans. The Provost will provide the vision, intellectual leadership, and advocacy for the continued growth and excellence of the University's academic programs and faculty. On annual survey work to have positive responses on Questions #1 through 22, and most significantly on #23 asking the overall performance of the Provost on the faculty evaluation. Work to have a larger response from the faculty on the survey.	Weekly Senior Staff Meetings. Monthly Meetings with Deans and Departmental Chairs one on one. Formal Deans and Chairs Meeting are scheduled 3 times a Semester. The Provost also presents to the Board of Trustees' Academic Affairs and Accreditation Committee at the 4 Board meetings during the year. In addition to the above, special meetings will be implemented as needed with Faculty, Staff, and other stakeholders. Besides the above meetings, formal evaluation of the Provost is provided by a Form that is distributed to the Faculty once a year at the end of the spring semester for the Faculty to rate the Provost. Formal assessment of the Provost is returned on the Annual evaluation form with questions #1 through 22 and # 23 specifically related to his/her overall performance. On that survey the following scale was used: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. Baseline = 49 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Benchmark = ave. 57 responses for the 2018-	Total = 45 senior staff in a year. Throughout a semester there were 48 meetings individually between the provost and various Deans, and Dept. Chairs. Total = 96 per academic year. Throughout a semester the Formal Deans and Chairs meeting with the provost occurs 3 times. Total = 6 times an academic year and 1 summer meeting. Throughout the year, 4 meetings of the Board of Trustees were held with the Provost reporting to the Academic Affairs and Accreditation Committee during those meetings. The Faculty evaluation forms of the Provost office are collected by the Chair of the Faculty. For the most recent survey, a low return rate was recorded with only on	Meetings to continue as scheduled for the upcoming academic year. Special ad hoc meetings, including a summer meeting will be employed if necessary. Besides the normal repot, the Provost has developed a plan to highlight a particular department or program at each of the 4 Academic Affairs and Accreditation Committee meetings of the FMU Board of Trustees. In Addition, better methods, communication, and marketing measures to stress the importance of the faculty evaluation of the Provost to raise the number of respondents will be employed in the future. With approx. 250 faculty at FMU, a Target respondent number of 100 will be the goal for the next evaluation cycle. Furthermore, the goal would be to receive wholly positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 23 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Provost as good.



OFFICE OF The Provost IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

		-		
2. Support Faculty and Staff		For 2017-2018 we sent a number of faculty	All employees successfully	Looking ahead we hope to continue
Leadership Development	University will continue to provide faculty	leaders to Higher Education development	completed the higher education	sending FMU employees to higher
	leadership training opportunities through	programs: 1 went to Harvard, 1 participated in	leadership training and have been	Education leadership training
	participation as appropriate in programs	an ACE fellowship, 4 went to the HERS	applying ideas learned to our	programs. 2 upper-level
	offered by the Harvard Institute of	institute. While 2017-2018 saw 6 faculty leaders	campus.	administration members are
	Education Management, the American	attend various programs, The target goal would		scheduled to attend the two-week
	Council on Education, the HERS Institute,	be to offer some form of a professional,		training at Harvard this summer.
	and similar programs both external and	leadership development program to at least 2		and 4 more employees will
	internal to the Agency. The target goal	members of the Faculty and/or Staff each year,		complete training this summer. For
	would be to offer some form of a	contingent upon available funding.		the future others will be identified
	professional, leadership development	Baseline = 6 for 2017-2018 yr.		and provided with opportunities to
	program to at least 2 members of the	Benchmark = 6 for 2018-2019 yr.		develop their leadership skills.
	Faculty and/or Staff each year, contingent	Target = to send at least 2 per yr.		Additional Leadership programs will
	upon available funding.			be surveyed and used if deemed
				warranted in the future, with an eye
				on the Target of sending at least
				two a year if not more.
				In addition, a formal assessment of
				the benefits of attending these
				programs will be developed as a
				survey to be taken by those
				faculty/staff upon their return from
				these various programs. This would
				entail the development of the
				questions as well as the format in
				which to conduct this survey.
				,
	1	1		



OFFICE OF The Provost IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

	July 1, 2010 - Julie 30, 2019			
3. Provide Professional Development funding for faculty research and development.	The office of the Provost at Francis Marion University will continue to provide funding for faculty professional development. The Provost office hopes to be able to fully fund all faculty professional development projects. Currently, each faculty member has the option to submit proposal(s) for consideration to use up to \$2,750 per year.	Development Committee, made up of FMU Faculty with the duty to evaluate the validity and recommend the funding of faculty proposals for research, conference, travel, etc. That committee reviews the proposals, then votes on them to approve them to be recommended to	For the 2018-2019 year FMU fully funded 216 faculty travel and research requests totaling \$286,846.00 Thus, we have set a benchmark for proposal submissions being 216 and above and for funding to be at or above \$200,000.00	professional development will continue in the current fiscal year.
4. Provide funds for faculty to use for Ready to Experience Applied Learning (REAL) grants to assist with the experiential learning of their students beyond the classroom	The office of the Provost at Francis Marion University will continue to provide funding for faculty to apply for REAL grants. Currently, each faculty member can submit proposal(s) for consideration to use up to \$5,000 per year.	Committee, made up of FMU Faculty with the duty to evaluate the validity and recommend the funding of faculty proposals for REAL grants. That committee reviews the proposals, then votes on them to approve them to be recommended to the Provost Office for	For the 2018-2019 year FMU funded 123 faculty REAL grants totaling \$277,386.05 Thus, we have set a benchmark for proposal submissions being at least 100 and above and for funding to be at or above \$200,000.00	Support at that level for faculty REAL grants will continue in the current fiscal year. The future Target will be 150 proposals with full funding for all proposals. As we grow our faculty from 250+, new sources of funding may need to be considered and employed if necessary. REAL grant proposals will need to undergo a more critical review. with an eye on revision the RUBRIC if necessary. Additionally, partial funding might be one option to consider in the future if proposals surpass available funds. Additional funding levels will be dependent on budget constraints and other mitigating factors.



College of Liberal Arts. "The mission College of Liberal Arts is to provide students with skills and howedge needed for personal and protessional success." From: FMU 2018-2019 CLA. academic programs and four CLA. academic programs and four the CLA. is for the Uncommitting the CLA. Informed the faculty members of the CLA. Informed the faculty evaluation of the Provosts relae as Dean of the CLA. academic programs and four the faculty evaluation of the Bean of the CLA. Informed to the CLA. Informed the faculty members of the CLA. Informed the faculty evaluation of the Dean the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA. A craise the number of faculty respondents upers. The the full weak and the inproves of the class of the original the m. Work to have positive scores of 2013-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year. The gal would be to receive positive scores of 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree on Question #26 that ackess I rate the overall performance of the bean of the Claege of Liberal the semaster.					
of the Trancis Marion University College of Liberal Arts is to provide students with skills and knowledge needed for personal and professional Catalog p.69. To reach this end, the Dean of the CLA is responsible for CLA. a cademic programs and faculty befa aculty members, bold and Chair meetings of the faculty members of the CLA. Informed of new initiatives, etc. that would affect befa aculty exponses on CLA. a cademic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of Liberal Arts.	5. Provost serves as Dean of the	5		3	5
College of uberal Arts is to provide needed for personal and professional success." From: FMU 2018-2019 (Catalog p. 69: To reach this end, beet be faculty members of the C.L.A. informance of the Dean of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to keep the faculty members, etc. that would affect the Acual performance of the Dean the faculty readers, etc. that would affect the faculty members of the C.L.A. informance of the Dean is ecoretication programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of the faculty readers of the CLA. Liberal Arts. Work to have positive responses to the faculty readers of the CLA. So the College of Liberal Arts. Work to have positive responses to the faculty readers of the Dean is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA. So the CLA. So the CLA. So the Societion #24, 25, and 26 specifically the faculty readers of the CLA. So the faculty respondents submitting the responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year. So the follower will be employed in the funct socres of 4-Agree, or the follower will be employed in the funct socres of 4-Agree, or the follower will be readers of the follower will be responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. So the follower will be employed in the funct socres of 4-Agree, or the follower will be respondent number of 50 will be the fogoid for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication of the final CLA meeting of the server will be understate. The the overall performance of the Dean of the Claege of Liberal Socres of 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree or Ouestion # 26 that akses: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal Socres of 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree or Ouestion # 26 that akses: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the				0	, ¹
studerts with skills and knowledge needed for personal and professional success. <i>From: PMU 2018-2019</i> <i>Catalog p.69.</i> To reach this end, the bean of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to keep Dean of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to keep the faculty members of the Coll.A, informed of new initiatives, etc. that would affect the faculty members of the College of Liberal Arts. <i>Second the college of the faculty evaluation of the faculty members of the College of Liberal Arts. <i>Second the college of the college of Liberal Arts. Second the college of the college of Liberal Arts. Baseline - 31</i> <i>Respondents. College of the c</i></i>	of the Francis Marion University	Oversee CLA developments, initiatives and	Establish ad-hoc Committees within the	academic year.	Special ad hoc meetings would be
needed for personal and professional of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to keep the GALM informed as needed in the CLA. will be the CLA is returned on the Annual evaluation of new initiatives, etc. that work to have positive responses on CLA. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to have positive responses on CLA. academic programs and faculty is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the CLA. So and most percentage as a second of the CLA. The formed as needed in the future. The formed as needed i	College of Liberal Arts is to provide	Tenure and Promotion procedures of	College to examine certain priorities and make	Question #24 had 29	employed if necessary.
success." <i>From: FMU 2018-2019</i> <i>Catalog p.69.</i> To reach this end, the Dean of the C.L.A. is responsible for C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hinging, etc. of the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsible for C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hinging, etc. of the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsible for C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hinging, etc. of the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsible for C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hinging, etc. of the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsed to the Annual evaluation the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsed to the Annual evaluation the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. is responsed to the Annual evaluation the faculty evaluation of the C.L.A. in the following scale was used: 1-Strongly baseline = 31 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Baseline = 31 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year. Communication and earlier communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The college of Liberal the Dean of the College of Liberal	students with skills and knowledge	faculty members. Hold and Chair meetings	recommendations. Formal	respondents. Question #25 has	Additionally, special ad-hoc
Catalog p 69. To reach this end, the Dean of the C.L.A. is responsible for the taculty explosive or the taculty explosive or Liberal Arts.of new initiatives, etc. that would affect include to his/her performance as Dean of the CLA. academic programs and faculty where the overall performance of the Dean of the Scale work to the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.As to the formal assessment, better methods, communication, and marketing measures to stress the following scale was used: 1=Strongly evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.As to the formal assessment, better methods, communication, and marketing measures to stress the following scale was used: 1=Strongly evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.As to the formal assessment, better methods, communication, and marketing measures to stress the following scale was used: 1=Strongly evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.Liberal Arts.is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA.Target = 50 responses for 2017-2018 yr.Target = 50 responses each year.the importance of the importance of unit be the goal for the next evaluation or cycle. To react this end, better communication of the survey will be mentioned at the importance of the survey will be mentioned at the inor of the survey will be or decrementioned the the overall performance of LA are the overall performance of the importance of the ordean extension or cycle. To react this end, better communication of the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be undertaken. The survey will be or the Dean of the ocale positive scores of 4-	needed for personal and professional	of the College of Liberal Arts. Work to keep	assessment of the Provost's role as Dean of	28 respondents. Question #26	Committees from the C.L.A. will be
Dean of the C.L.A. is responsible for C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of Liberal Arts.	success." From: FMU 2018-2019	the faculty members of the C.L.A. informed	the CLA is returned on the Annual evaluation	had 28 respondents.	formed as needed in the future.
C.L.A. academic programs and faculty issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of the faculty members of the College of Liberal Arts.Questions #24, 25 and most especially #26 the faculty evaluation of the faculty evaluation of the faculty evaluation of the 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item.and marketing measures to stress the following scale was used: 1=Strongly bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item.and marketing measures to stress the following scale was used: 1=Strongly the following scale was used: 1=Strongly bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item.and marketing measures to stress the importance of the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the C.L.A. to raise the number of faculty responses for 2017-2018 yr. Target = 50 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year.Menthmark = respondent number of 50 will be the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the servery will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the server positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal	Catalog p.69. To reach this end, the	of new initiatives, etc. that would affect	form with questions #24, 25, and 26 specifically	-	As to the formal assessment,
issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of the faculty members of the College of Liberal Arts. where the overall performance of the bean is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the Dean of the CLA. because the college of Liberal Arts. Baseline = 31 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Benchmark = 28 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. the C.L.A. to rate the the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this and, better communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the sensets. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of Liberal	Dean of the C.L.A. is responsible for	them. Work to have positive responses on	related to his/her performance as Dean of the		better methods, communication,
the faculty members of the College of Liberal Arts. bean of the CLA. bean of the CLA. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bean of the CLA. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bean of the CLA. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this item. bisagree, 6= I choose not to choose not the College of Liberal bisagree, 6= I choose not the college of Liberal	C.L.A. academic programs and faculty	Questions #24, 25 and most especially #26	CLA. On that survey		and marketing measures to stress
Liberal Arts. Dean of the CLA. 5=Strongly Agree, 6= 1 choose not to rate this item. Baseline = 31 responses for 2017-2018 yr. C.L.A. to raise the number of faculty respondents submitting the evaluation will be employed in the future. With approx. 140 faculty in Target = 50 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. the C.L.A. at FMU, a Target respondent number of 50 will be the goal for the next evaluation or cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication and earlier communication of the importance of for completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey of the senester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Cuestion #26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal	issues such as T and P, hiring, etc. of	where the overall performance of the Dean	the following scale was used: 1=Strongly		the importance of the faculty
item. Baseline = 31 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Benchmark = 28 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year. Baseline = 31 responses for 2017-2018 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each year.	the faculty members of the College of	is recorded on the faculty evaluation of the	Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neutral, 4=Agree,		evaluation of the Dean of the
responses for 2017-2018 yr. Benchmark = 28 responses for 2018-2019 yr. Target = 50 responses each year. Target = 50 responses each y	Liberal Arts.	Dean of the CLA.	5=Strongly Agree, 6= I choose not to rate this		C.L.A. to raise the number of
28 responses for 2018-2019 yr. future. With approx. 140 faculty in Target = 50 responses each year. the C.L.A. at FMU, a Target respondent number of 50 will be the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier of the importance of completing the survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal			item. Baseline = 31		faculty respondents submitting the
28 responses for 2018-2019 yr. future. With approx. 140 faculty in Target = 50 responses each year. the C.L.A. at FMU, a Target respondent number of 50 will be the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier communication and earlier of the importance of completing the survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal			responses for 2017-2018 yr. Benchmark =		evaluation will be employed in the
respondent number of 50 will be the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal			28 responses for 2018-2019 yr.		future. With approx. 140 faculty in
the goal for the next evaluation cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication of the importance of communication of the importance of undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agrees, 5=Strongly Agrees of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agrees of 4=Agrees, 5=Strongly Agrees of 4=Agrees of 4=Agrees, 5=Strongly Agrees of 4=Agrees			Target = 50 responses each year.		the C.L.A. at FMU, a Target
cycle. To reach this end, better communication and earlier communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					respondent number of 50 will be
communication and earlier communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					the goal for the next evaluation
communication of the importance of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					cycle. To reach this end, better
of completing the survey will be undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					communication and earlier
undertaken. The survey will be mentioned at the final CLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					communication of the importance
mentioned at the final ČLA meeting of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					of completing the survey will be
of the semester. The goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					undertaken. The survey will be
goal would be to receive positive scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					mentioned at the final CLA meeting
Scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					of the semester. The
Agree on Question # 26 that askes: I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					goal would be to receive positive
I rate the overall performance of the Dean of the College of Liberal					scores of 4=Agree, 5=Strongly
the Dean of the College of Liberal					Agree on Question # 26 that askes:
ů – Č					I rate the overall performance of
Arts as good.					the Dean of the College of Liberal
					Arts as good.



6. The Provost and Associate Provost	The provost office assist Deans and Chairs	The Associate Provost will attend all meetings	During academic year, attended	Continue to attend all committees
oversee all new academic	with new program and course development	of the Academic Affairs Committee for	all scheduled meetings of	and meetings that pertain to
programmatic developments at the	at both the undergraduate and graduate	undergraduate course/program development.	Academic Affairs Committee	program/course development and
undergraduate and graduate levels to	level. The provost office staff works to	The Associate Provost will attend all meetings	meetings 4 per semester 8 total;	proposals. Continue to collect data
bring about new degree offerings and			Graduate Council meetings 4 per	on programs and course
new programs to better serve our	edition of the FMU catalog. That catalog is	serves as Director of Graduate Programs) for	semester 8 total; Faculty Senate	development for CHE usage.
students, local business, the local	to be completed in timely manner with	Graduate program/course development.	meetings 3 per semester 6 total;	Provost office staff will continue to
community, and medical providers.	targeted deadline of May 15 each year.	He/She will attend all meetings of the Faculty	and General Faculty meetings 2	work to incorporate all new
Those programmatic and course	Furthermore, the provost office works to	Senate and the General Faculty to ensure the	per semester 4 total to oversee	changes into the newest editions of
changes are then complied and	assess each and every catalog change and	passage of new program developments,	new program or new course	the FMU catalog. The Target
submitted in a revised FMU Catalog	new program and course development to	program modifications and all necessary	development and proposals.	deadline for completion of the
each year.	evaluate and track whether they need to be	Catalog changes. That catalog is to be	Note: during past academic year	newest catalog edition will be May
	reported to CHE as 1. a Notification of	completed in timely manner with the targeted	151 curriculum and catalog	15 of each year. Better
	Change, 2. a Program Modification or 3. a	deadline of May 15 each year. The Provost	changes were approved via	communication between the
	new program proposal. Also in the case of	office will assess the program and course	Faculty Governance. The newest	provost office and the
	discontinuing a program, a notice of			communications office and all the
	Termination needs to be submitted to CHE.	(based on CHE requirements) if they fall into	completed via input from Provost	various academic departments and
				other offices will be implemented in
		Change, 2. a Program Modification or 3. a New	9, 2019.	the future so as to keep to that
		Program Proposal. Baseline		deadline and/or provide for an
		= for the 2017-2018 year the catalog revisions		earlier date of completion.
		with all new programs and course		
		developments was completed on May 23, 2018		
		Benchmark = for the 2018-2019 year the		
		catalog revisions with all new programs and		
		course developments was completed on May 9,		
		2019 Target =		
		May 15 of each year		



OFFICE OF The Provost IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

7. The Provost and Associate Provost bring forth academic changes and proposals to the SC Commission on Higher Education (CHE) as warranted by program development and other initiatives. As such, The Provost and his associate attend CHE meetings to secure passage of our proposals in a timely manner.	Completion and submission to CHE all Notification of Change, Program Modification or New Program Proposal forms as required each year for any program initiatives and developments. Also in the case of discontinuing a program, a notice of Termination needs to be submitted to CHE. Approval by June 1 by CHE of all submissions represented by the successful passage of all proposals by the various committees at CHE up to and including the full commission.	Attend all necessary Committee meetings at CHE such as ACAP, CAAL and Full Commission meetings. Follow-up with CHE Staff to make sure proposals, modifications, and notifications have been received and approved. Conference call with CHE staff to provide data-sets, information, budgetary items, etc. to support our proposals. Baseline = For the 2017-2018 year all CHE related proposals were approved by June 14, 2018. This included 4 Program Modifications, 3 New Program Proposals, 4 Notification of Change and 1 Program Termination Notification	CAAL and Full CHE Commission to oversee proposals. 4 ACAP meetings 4 CAAL meetings and 4 full CHE meetings Answered in writing and in person at the various meetings questions or concerns of the CHE staff and commissioners. For the 2018- 2019 year the total submissions were: Program Modifications = 4, New Program Proposals = 5, and Notification of Change = 5. These were all duly approved during the course of the academic year, with the final notification from CHE coming for the last proposal on	and new proposals to CHE as warranted with program development and other initiatives. Continue to follow-up with CHE staff and CHE committee meetings to support such initiatives as necessary. Continue to track all programmatic developments on campus to see if they require CHE submissions as: 1. a Notification of Change, 2. a Program Modification or 3. a New Program Proposal. Continue to have the Target of
	committees at CHE up to and including the	provide data-sets, information, budgetary items, etc. to support our proposals. Baseline = For the 2017-2018 year all CHE related proposals were approved by June 14, 2018. This included 4 Program Modifications, 3 New Program Proposals, 4 Notification of Change	were: Program Modifications = 4, New Program Proposals = 5, and Notification of Change = 5. These were all duly approved during the course of the academic year, with the final notification from CHE coming for the last proposal on 22 May, 2019.	Change, 2. a Program Modification or 3. a New Program Proposal. Continue to have the Target of June 1 of each year for final approval by CHE of any proposals submitted during the previous



OFFICE OF The Provost IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

8. Set university priorities for	As Chief Academic Officer, the Provost will	Continually monitor budget lines and accounts	Set budgets zeroed out as of	Current policies and procedures as
budgeting, resource allocation in	work closely with the Office of Business	for surpluses and deficient. If needed, request	June 30, 2019. Surpluses and	to budgets and resource allocation
support of the academic mission of the	Affairs and University Development to	budgetary line transfers within the year.	deficient recorded and used for	to support the academic mission of
University. This would include	ensure that the university has adequate	Access departmental and program spending to	budgetary guidelines for 2019-	FMU proven to be successful and
oversight of departmental budgets,	resources to meet its academic goals. As	keep in line with set budgets. Present with the	2020. For 2017-2018 FY year, the	adequate. However, with additional
setting target salaries for new hires of	such, he/she will be involved in key	University President annual	Accountability report was	program development new
faculty and staff to support the	decisions related to tuition, enrollment,	budget/appropriations requests to the State	submitted on September 10,	considerations will have to be
academic mission. Setting and	research funding, and other revenue	legislature various committees. Submit annual	2018 in advance of the State	examined and planned for
overseeing Professional development	streams to support strategic growth in	agency accountability report to SC State	deadline. The 2018-2019 FY	accordingly. Adherence to the
funding, Setting target goals for REAL	areas that have been identified as	Administration Office by September 13 each	Accountability Report is due by	State deadline of Sept. 13 annually
and PEAK grant funding.	institutional priorities, being mindful of	year.	September 13, 2019.	for the Accountability report will
t	funding and appropriations levels and any	Baseline = for the 2016-2017 FY		continue with a Target of
	potential deficits. To provide transparency	Accountability Report submitted 9 September,		completion and submission by 13
	on these items the Provost office completes	2017. Benchmark		September each year or earlier.
	and submits annually in a timely manner	= for the 2017-2018 FY Accountability Report		Better coordination and
t	the Accountability Report for the university.	submitted 10 September, 2018.		communication between offices
		Target = September 13 of each year		and attendance at all applicable
				training offered by the
				Administration Office in Columbia
				for each FY report will be utilized.



FRANCIS MARION UNIVERSITY OFFICE OF The Provost

IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

9. As chief academic officer, the	As we face faculty retirements, new	Monitor current faculty evaluations and	For the 2018-2019 period, 297	Continue with the current Faculty
Provost is charged with the task to	program needs, and other faculty	assessment processes. Sign off as principle	faculty evaluations reviewed and	evaluation processes and
recruit, retain, and develop an	requirements, the Provost along with the	reviewer of all faculty evaluations submitted by	all evaluations of Deans and	procedures will be used for 2019-
increasingly distinguished faculty.	Associate Provost, Deans, and Department	Deans and Chairs. Evaluate the Deans and	Chairs written and submitted by	2020 with an goal to pass through
Francis Marion University has an	Chairs have to develop plans for faculty	Chairs. Work with H.R. to write, post and	the Provost on June 27, 2019.	faculty governance acceptance in
excellent faculty dedicated to teaching,	recruitment and development to recruit,	monitor current faculty positions. Interview	Continued use of current	this year acceptance of the new
research, service, and the mission of	orientate, and secure excellent faculty for	candidates, negotiate, and issue contracts.	practices as regards evaluations.	wholly on-line student evaluation of
the university and we seek to continue	the University and to assure of their	Provide for faculty development through	Oversaw the faculty search	our faculty. We will continue with
this with all new hires for new	professional development leading towards	support of Professional development funds and	process. For the 2018-2019	the current processes and
programs and degree offerings.	tenure and promotion. To hire, train,	processes. Provide for additional training	period 32 searches undertaken	procedures for future faculty
	promote, and retain an excellent faculty	sessions, new faculty orientations, etc. A	as of June 12, 2019 only 3	postings and new hires. We will
	professional development is offered,	Third year review is used to assist in Faculty	unfilled positions left. 2018-2019	work to recruit and hire more
	orientations are offered, a third year review	preparation for coming up for Tenure and	academic year saw the	diverse faculty members in the
	is used to assist in Faculty preparation for	Promotion (usually at the 6th year) Annual	discussion and development of	future. We will develop and utilize
	coming up for Tenure and Promotion.	faculty evaluations by their Deans and/or Chairs	an wholly on-line student	in the coming year the
	Additionally, annual faculty evaluations are	is employed to track and offer suggestions for	evaluation of our faculty to be	"Handshake" platform to post
	submitted by their Deans and/or Chairs to	improvement. In addition, all faculty are	implemented by 2020. Faculty	positions and assist in hires on
	track and offer suggestions for	evaluated in-class or on-line for on-line courses	governance leaders along with	campus. We will explore new
	improvement.	with an evaluation form. Student comments are	administration have been working	avenues to reach potential faculty
		submitted on comment cards. After the	on this new initiative.	better, such as postings within
		evaluation is complete the comment cards and		discipline-specific journals, etc.
		the faculty member's numerical score on the		We will utilize better
		various questions are provided to he/she for		communications between offices
		means of reflection and future improvement.		and departments to ensure that
		Baseline = for the 2017-2018 year all		the evaluation process if finalize
		evaluations completed and signed off by the		and completed by June 30 of each
		Provost on June 23, 2018		year.
		Benchmark = for the 2018-2019 year all		,
		evaluations completed and signed off by the		
		Provost on June 27, 2019		
		Target = June 30 of each year.		



FRANCIS MARION UNIVERSITY OFFICE OF The Provost

IE DEPARTMENTAL ASSESSMENT PLAN

10. Associate Provost within the Office	All students brought up for charges of	During FY year 2018-2019 we had the following	During the FY year 2018-2019	To reduce academic dishonesty
of the Provost serves as chief	academic dishonesty will know of their	cases: For Summer II 2018 we had 1 case. For	there were 49 cases of academic	cases and warn students of the
academic disciplinarian to oversee all	rights to due process and will be allowed to	Fall 2018, we had 23 cases, 2 appealed to an	dishonesty brought to the Provost	consequences, the associate
cases of academic dishonesty at the	meet with the associate provost and	Honor Council, with the charge upheld in both	office (1 in SU II, 23 in fall 2018,	provost gave in 2018-2019 4 talks
undergraduate and graduate level. As	assisted in the process to appeal to an	cases. For Spring 2019, we had 25 cases, 2	and 25 in spring 2019. Of all	to students groups, ex. 1 to
such, the associate provost seeks to	Honor Council hearing if such an appeal is	appealed to an Honor Council, with the charges	those cases, 4 appealed to an	Student athletes and 3 invited talks
assist students by educating them on	requested by the student. The associate	upheld. Baseline = For	Honors Council. In all 4 cases the	to UL 100 classes. Similar talks will
their rights to due process when	provost will show no partiality in these	the 2017-2018 FY year all Academic	Charges were upheld. Two	be conducted for the 2019-2020
charged with academic dishonesty as	cases and will endeavor to assemble 3	Dishonesty cases and their dispositions were	students were found guilty of a	year, with the lecture to FMU
well as solicit for faculty volunteers to	faculty members and 1 alternate faculty	documented and the case book completed on	2nd offense violation and they	student athletes already scheduled.
serve on the Honor Councils, provide	member for an Honor council within a	June 28, 2018.	were suspended for 1 semester.	Better communication with those
the Council with the case files,	month of the appeal request. The Council	Benchmark = For the 2018-2019 FY year all		professors teaching UL 100 will be
organize the hearings, communicate	will receive from the provost office a full,	Academic Dishonesty cases and their		developed to offer the associate
the findings with all the principle	and complete case file electronically. The	dispositions were documented and the case		provost's service to speak to their
parties involved, and thoroughly	Associate provost will chair the Council as	book completed on June 10, 2019		individual classes about academic
document each case as well as keep a	a non-voting member and instruct the	Target = June 30 of each year		dishonesty, the processes and
complete file of all charges of	council, faculty member, and student of the			penalties that we have at FMU.
Academic Dishonesty brought forth	procedures to be followed during the Honor			Additionally those professors,
•	Council. Furthermore, each student and the			indeed all professors will be tasked
be fully updated and completed by	professor who brought the charge will be			to stress and communicate to their
June 30 of each year.	notified of the results. Academic sanctions			students of the penalties for
	will be applied if the case is upheld.			academic dishonesty in their
	Complete documentation of the case file			printed syllabi and via other means.
	will be undertaken and the files will be			The current processes and
	stored within the provost office and case			procedures to protect the students'
	data will be recorded on the data			rights to due process and appeal to
	spreadsheet. A complete file of all charges			an honor council will continue. The
	of Academic Dishonesty brought forth each			current practices of recording the
	FY and their dispositions is to be fully			Honor Councils and keeping the
	updated and completed by June 30 of each			log book of the academic
	year.			dishonesty cases and their
				dispositions will be continued with



				1
11. The Associate Provost chairs the	To gain full accreditation for our various	Written compliance reports, narratives and	Successful site visits by all	Continue with the established
faculty accreditation committee. The	academic programs, new and existing. To	responses to support certain standards,	accreditation bodies, with very	policies and procedures that
provost office will oversee the	have a successful site visit and to receive	practices, and procedures are submitted to the	limited responses required. For	address the various standards to
accreditation processes by all the	statements of compliance and accreditation	various outside accrediting bodies. Direct	FY 2018-2019 we have had 3 on	remain in full compliance with all
academic programs on campus. The	by the various accreditation bodies. To	conference calls and other communication with	campus site visits by various out-	the various accrediting bodies.
provost office will work closely with the	move beyond probationary accreditation	the out-side accrediting body is employed when	side accrediting bodies. We have	Compile and update in the
deans and chairs of the various	status and receive full accreditation status	needed. Conference meetings with faculty and	been engaged in composing	Accreditation committee the cycles
programs to bring their programs into	by some of the accreditation bodies. To	staff teams are employed. Outside consultants	written narratives and self studies	and status of all programs within
compliance and full accreditation	keep an accurate record of the	are hired and retained to assist with compliance	for the PA and SLP programs.	the University that undergo periodic
status. The provost office will keep an	accreditation cycles and status of all	reports, self-studies, and response narratives	Working to comply with all	accreditation review by various out-
accurate record of the accreditation	academic programs that have an outside	as needed. Meetings with site visit teams on	standards in a timely manner and	side accrediting bodies. For the
cycles and status of all academic	accreditation body. Please find the latest	campus are arranged. The associate provost	meet the imposed deadlines of	2018-2019 FY year Complete and
programs that have an outside	(May 29, 2019) update of The document	chairs the faculty accreditation committee. That	June 30 for PA program's self	file the document "Accreditation
accreditation body. Those outside	"Accreditation cycles and status" as an	committee meets 2 times a year to receive	study report for ARC-PA and	cycles and status" by the Target
agencies include: SACSCOC, ABET,	attachment to this report.	updates on the accreditation cycle data and to	August 1 for our SLP program's	deadline of June 30 each year. To
AACSB, ARC-PA, NAST, CAA of		review and approve REAL grant proposals.	CAA/ASHA candidacy progress	do this, have better
ASHA, ACEN, ACS, CAEP, MPCAC,		The document "Accreditation cycles and	report. For 2018-2019 the	communications between
NASP, CCNE, and NASAD. The		status" will be compiled annually and completed	accreditation committee met 2	programs, departments, Deans and
document "Accreditation cycles and		by June 30 each year.		Chairs with the Associate Provost
status" will be compiled annually and		Baseline = For the 2017-2018 FY year The	cycles and status of all programs.	and the accreditation committee.
completed by June 30 each year.		document "Accreditation cycles and status"	Additionally for 2018-2019 the	Work to hold the accreditation
		update was completed on April 27, 2018.	associate provost presented the	committee meetings well in
		Benchmark = For the 2018-2019 FY year	accreditation status at the fall	advance of the submission
		The document "Accreditation cycles and	forum hosted by our FMU chapter	deadline.
		status" update was completed on May 29,	of AAUP. For the 2018-2019 FY	Prepare for those reviews and
		2019. Target =	year The document	upcoming site-visits. Meet all
		June 30 of each year.	"Accreditation cycles and status"	required deadlines and
		-	update was completed on May	submissions for self-study reports
			29, 2019.	progress reports and the like.
				Prepare for a possible change over
				in 2019 from ACEN to CCNE for
				our Nursing programs. This would