Institutional Effectiveness Report

Name of Program:	Master of Speech-Language Pathology
Year:	2019-2020
Name of Preparer:	Frances Burns, Ph.D., CCC-SLP

Program Mission Statement: The Francis Marion University Master of Speech-Language Pathology Program (MSLP) seeks to provide a comprehensive academic course of study combined with diverse clinical experiences in order to prepare outstanding allied healthcare professionals capable of providing high quality assessment and treatment for individuals with communication and swallowing disorders in the Pee Dee, South Carolina area and beyond.

Program Learning Outcomes

The outcomes for the MSLP program are as follows:

- 1. Support students' mastery of comprehensive content and methodology in speechlanguage pathology practice.
- 2. Support use of research for inquiry, problem solving, assessment, and treatment.
- 3. Develop ethical and professional skills.
- 4. Support development of student leadership skills
- 5. Develop opportunities for interprofessional collaboration.
- 6. Continuously appraise curriculum to optimize completion rates.
- 7. Continuously evaluate syllabi to ensure licensure and certification attainment.

Executive Summary of Report

Results from five (5) student learning outcomes (SLOs) are included in this report. They are derived from the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association's 2020 *Standards and Implementation Procedures for the Certificate of Clinical Competence in Speech-Language Pathology*.

Direct and indirect assessment methods were identified for the SLOs. Two additional assessment methods were used for the 2019-2020 reporting period. The Clinical Assessment of Learning Inventory of Performance Streamlined Office Operations (CALIPSO)--clinical performance ratings, and a variety of assignments were utilized to evaluate student achievement, including diagnostics evaluation reports, and literature review and method sections for research proposals.

Although the benchmark and target were met for SLO #1 in the 2018-2019 Institutional Effectiveness report, comments from clinical educators for the SLP 580: Clinical Practicum course revealed that students required more time and instruction on how to write diagnostic evaluation reports than was expected by the clinical educators. The MSLP program provided additional training for clinical educators designed to further increase their skill set associated with clinical supervision, particularly in the area of supervision of diagnostic report writing. Following training, the supervisors were able to provide the additional support for students in their second semester of practicum, which resulted in SLO #1 being met for the 2019-2020 reporting period.

The benchmarks and targets for the direct assessment of SLO #4, "Plan a research study consistent with evidence-based criteria," were not met. The action plan from the last reporting period was implemented and students were on track to submit their research projects for IRB approval. However, students were not able to submit projects for review due to an interruption in the Spring 2020 schedule following the outbreak of COVID-19. Arrangements have been made to allow students access to Zoom and Teams which will allow for virtual collaborations with each other and faculty. This will allow students to complete their projects on time next year, should there be any interruption the university schedule.

The benchmark and target for SLO #5, "Demonstrate knowledge of professional conduct" were met, indicating the 2018-2019 action plan was effective. Clinical educators addressed inappropriate professional conduct within 24 hours following any incidents and developed remediation plans with the students. Students were also referred to their academic advisors for counseling about how inappropriate professional conduct may impact their course grade.

Student Learning Outcomes

Students who graduate from the MSLP program will:

- 1. Apply knowledge of statistics as well as biological, physical, and social/behavioral sciences to diagnostic report writing and client debriefing.
- 2. Apply current knowledge of the principles and methods of assessment for persons with communication and swallowing disorders, including consideration of anatomical/physiological, developmental, and linguistic and cultural correlates, within a clinical evaluation
- 3. Apply the principles of ethics and/or rules from the 2010 ASHA Code of Ethics in all clinical interactions.
- 4. Plan a research study consistent with evidence-based criteria.
- 5. Demonstrate knowledge of professional conduct.

Assessment Methods:

1. Apply knowledge of statistics as well as biological, physical, and social/behavioral sciences to diagnostic report writing and client debriefing.

Assessment	Type of	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessment	Dusenne	Denemiark	ranget
SLP 580:	Direct	100%	85% of	85% (or
Clinical	Direct	100 //	students will	higher) of
Practicum II				students will
r lacuculli ll			meet the target.	
				demonstrate
-CALIPSO				knowledge
(see appendix				and skills at
A)				the "Present"
				level in
Analyzed by				CALIPSO
the MSLP				
faculty,				Rationale:
including				Students are
adjunct clinical				expected to
educators				possess
				knowledge
				and skills at
				the "Present"
				level in their
				second
				semester.
				Skills may
				need further
				development,
				refinement or
				consistency.
				Supervisor
				provides on-
				going
				monitoring
				and feedback;
				focuses on
				increasing
				student's
				critical
				thinking on
				how/when to
				improve skill
				(skill is
				present 51-
				75% of the
				time).

Assessment	Type of	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessment			
SLP 621	Direct	92%	85%% of	85% (or
Clinical		210	students will	higher) of
Practicum V			meet the target.	students will
T Tuottoutit v			meet me target.	demonstrate
				knowledge
				and skills at
				the
				"Adequate"
				level in
				CALIPSO
				Rationale:
				Students are
				expected to
				possess
				knowledge
				and skills at
				the
				"Adequate"
				level in their
				final
				practicum.
				Skill is
				developed and
				implemented
				most of the
				time and needs
				consistent
				refinement or
				consistency.
				Student is
				aware and can
				modify behavior in-
				session, and
				can self-
				evaluate.
				Problem
				solving is
				independent.
				Supervisor acts
				as a
				collaborator to

		plan and suggest possible alternatives. (Skill is present 76-90% of the
		76-90% of the time).

2. Apply current knowledge of principles and methods of assessment for persons with communication and swallowing disorders, including consideration of anatomical/physiological, developmental, and linguistic and cultural correlates.

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO (see appendix A) Analyzed by the MSLP faculty, including adjunct clinical educators	Assessment	100%	85% of students will meet the target.	85% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge and skills at the "Present" level in CALIPSO Rationale: Students are expected to possess knowledge and skills to conduct assessments at the "Present" level in their second semester. Skills may need further development, refinement or consistency. Supervisor provides on- going monitoring
				and feedback; focuses on

Assessment	Type of	Baseline	Benchmark	increasing student's critical thinking on how/when to improve skill (skill is present 51- 75% of the time). Target
Method SLP 621: Clinical Practicum V	Assessment Direct	100%	85% of students will meet the target.	85% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge and skills at the "Adequate" level in CALIPSO Rationale: Students are expected to possess knowledge and skills at the "Adequate" level in their final practicum. Skill is developed and implemented most of the time and needs consistent refinement or consistency. Student is aware and can modify behavior in- session, and

		can self- evaluate. Problem solving is independent. Supervisor acts as a collaborator to plan and suggest possible alternatives. (Skill is present 76-90% of the time).
--	--	---

3. Apply the principles of ethics and/or rules from the 2010 ASHA Code of Ethics in all clinical interactions.

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO (see appendix A)	Direct	100%	85% of students will meet the target.	85% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge and skills at the "Present" level in CALIPSO.
				Rationale: Students are expected to possess the knowledge and skills to conduct assessments and provide

		intomiantion at
		intervention at
		the "Present"
		level, skills
		may need
		further
		development,
		refinement or
		consistency.
		Supervisor
		provides on-
		going
		monitoring
		and feedback;
		focuses on
		increasing
		student's
		critical
		thinking on
		how/when to
		improve skill
		(skill is
		present 51-
		75% of the
		time).

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 610: Professional Issues and Ethics	Direct	100%	90% of students will meet the target.	90% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge via course assessments well enough to earn a total score of 80 points out of 100 points.

	Type of	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessment			
SLP 621: Clinical Practicum V	Assessment	92%	85% of students will meet the target.	85% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge and skills at the "Adequate" level in CALIPSO Rationale: Students are expected to possess knowledge and skills at the "Adequate" level in their second practicum. Skill is developed and implemented most of the time and needs consistent refinement or consistency. Student is aware and can
				modify behavior in- session, and can self-

				evaluate. Problem solving is independent. Supervisor acts as a collaborator to plan and suggest possible alternatives. (Skill is present 76-90% of the time).
--	--	--	--	--

4. Plan a research study consistent with evidence-based criteria.

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 567: Research Methods I Rubrics for literature review and method sections totaling 100 points (see appendices B and C) Analyzed by the MSLP faculty	Direct	84%	90% of students will meet the target.	90% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge via course assessments well enough to earn a total score of 80 points out of 100 points.
Proposals submitted to the FMU Institutional	Indirect	Not assessed due to course being interrupted by	80% of students will meet the target.	80% of students' research proposals will

Review Board for approval (see appendix D)		the COVID-19 Pandemic		be accepted.
Three student/faculty meetings regarding research proposals intended to provide extra support in developing research proposals and to help students stay on track with deadlines	Indirect	80%	80% of students will meet the target.	80% of students will attend 3 student/faculty meetings.

5. Demonstrate knowledge of professional conduct.

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO (see appendix A)	Direct	100%	85% of students will meet the target.	85% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge at the "Present" level in CALIPSO. Rationale: Students are expected to possess knowledge and skills to conduct assessments

		and marrida
		and provide
		intervention
		at the
		"Present"
		level in their
		second
		semester.
		Skills may
		need further
		development,
		refinement or
		consistency.
		Supervisor
		provides on-
		going
		monitoring
		and
		feedback;
		focuses on
		increasing
		student's
		critical
		thinking on
		how/when to
		improve skill
		(skill is
		present 51-
		75% of the
		time).

Assessment Method	Type of Assessment	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 610: Professional Issues and Ethics	Direct	100%	90% of students will meet target.	90% (or higher) of students will demonstrate knowledge via course assessments well enough to earn a total score of 80 points out of 100 points.

Assessment Results:

1. Apply knowledge of statistics as well as biological, physical, and social/behavioral sciences to diagnostic report writing and client debriefing.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO (see appendix A)	24	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 621:	24	49	100%	Met	Met
Clinical					
Practicum V					

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

2. Apply current knowledge of principles and methods of assessment for persons with communication and swallowing disorders, including consideration of anatomical/physiological, developmental, and linguistic and cultural correlates.

Assessment	Students	Students in	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessed in	Program			
	Course				

SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II	24	49	100%	Met	Met
-CALIPSO (see appendix A)					

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 621:	24	49	100%	Met	Met
Clinical					
Practicum V					

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

3. Apply the principles of ethics and/or rules from the 2010 ASHA Code of Ethics in all clinical interactions.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO) (see appendix A)	24	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment	Students	Students in	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessed in	Program			
	Course				

SLP 610	25	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 621	24	49	92%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

4. Plan a research study consistent with evidence-based criteria.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 567: Research Methods I Rubrics for literature review (1 and 2), methods (1 and 2), totaling 100 points (see appendices B and C)	25	49	84%	Not Met	Not Met
Proposals submitted to the FMU Institutional Review Board for approval (see appendix D)	25	49	Not assessed due course being interrupted by COVID-	Not Met	Not Met

			19 Pandemic		
Three student/faculty meetings regarding research proposals intended to provide extra support in developing research proposal and stay on track with deadlines	25	49	80%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target were not met. 84% of the students demonstrated knowledge well enough to earn at least 80 out of 100 points for the method section and literature review. This is an increase from 80% and 72% respectively. 80% of students attended the student/faculty meetings. This is a decrease of 3% from the last reporting period.

5. Demonstrate knowledge of professional conduct.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 580: Clinical Practicum II -CALIPSO (see appendix A)	24	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment	Students	Students	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
Method	Assessed	in			
	in Course	Program			

SLP 610	25	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method.

Assessment Method	Students Assessed in Course	Students in Program	Baseline	Benchmark	Target
SLP 621	24	49	100%	Met	Met

Discussion: Benchmark and target met for this assessment method

Action Items

1. SLO #1

a. No action required

- **2.** SLO #2
 - a. No action required

3. SLO #3

a. No action required

- **4.** SLO #4
 - **a.** The action plan from the last reporting period was implemented and students were on track to submit their research projects for IRB approval. Students were not able to submit projects for review due to an interruption in the Spring 2020 schedule because of the COVID-19 pandemic. Action plan: Students will have access to Zoom and Teams to allow for virtual group collaborations. Students will be required to complete projects involving review of literature in all MSLP courses prior to the SLP 567 to improve their literature review and writing skills.

5. SLO #5

a. No action required

Appendices:

Appendix A: CALIPSO Performance Rating Scale Appendix B: SLP 567 Literature Review Rubric Appendix C: SLP 567 Method Rubric Appendix D: FMU Institution Review Board Protocol



CALIPSO

CallPSO

Performance Rating Scale

- 1 Not evident: Skill not evident most of the time. Student requires direct instruction to modify behavior and is unaware of need to change. Supervisor must model behavior and implement the skill required for client to receive optimal care. Supervisor provides numerous instructions and frequent modeling (skill is present <25% of the time).</p>
- 2 Emerging: Skill is emerging, but is inconsistent or inadequate. Student shows awareness of need to change behavior with supervisor input. Supervisor frequently provides instructions and support for all aspects of case management and services (skill is present 26-50% of the time).
- 3 Present: Skill is present and needs further development, refinement or consistency. Student is aware of need to modify behavior, but does not do this independently. Supervisor provides on-going monitoring and feedback; focuses on increasing student's critical thinking on how/when to improve skill (skill is present 51-75% of the time).
- 4 Adequate: Skill is developed/implemented most of the time and needs continued refinement or consistency. Student is aware and can modify behavior in-session, and can self-evaluate. Problem-solving is independent. Supervisor acts as a collaborator to plan and suggest possible alternatives (skill is present 76-90% of the time).
- 5 Consistent: Skill is consistent and well developed. Student can modify own behavior as needed and is an independent problem-solver. Student can maintain skills with other clients, and in other settings, when appropriate. Supervisor serves as consultant in areas where student has less experience; Provides guidance on ideas initiated by student (skill is present >90% of the time).



CALIPSO Cumulative Evaluation Doe, Jane

Jaufologist Jaufologist Dentist Dentist Family Member Venez-Neuro Dencioner			
Detritian Family Member Nurse Nurse Practitioner			
			Ethnicity Race Culture National origin Socieconomic status Gender identity Sexual orientation
	Ehnicity Race Culture Culture National origin Socioeconomic status Gender identity	Ehlnicity Race Race National origin Socioeconomic status Gender identity Sexual orientation	

Evaluation	Speech Sound Production	Fluency	Voice	Language	Hearing	Swallowing	Cognition	Social Aspects	AAC
1. Conducts screening and prevention procedures (std IV-D, std V-B, 1a)									
 Collects case history information and integrates information from clients patients and/or relevant others (std V-B, 1b) 									
	ĺ								
3. Selects appropriate evaluation instruments/procedures (std V-B, 1c)									
4. Administers and scores diagnostic tests correctly (std V-B, 1c)									
5. Adapts evaluation procedures to meet client/patient needs (std V-B, 1d)									
 Possesses knowledge of etiologies and characteristics for each communication and swallowine disorder (sat IV-C) 									

Page 1/3 * May 21 2019 * Cumulative Evaluation

 Interprets, integrates, and synthesizes test results, history, and other behavioral observations to develop diagnoses (sid V-B, 1e) 									
8. Makes appropriate recommendations for intervention (std V-B, Ie)									Τ
 Completes administrative and reporting functions necessary to support evaluation (std V-B, If) 									
10. Refers clients/patients for appropriate services (and V-B, 1g)									
Number of items scored: 0 Number of items remaining:	90 Se	Section Average:	0.00	1					
Intervention	Speech Sound Production	Fluency	Voice	Lunguage	Hearing	Swallowing	Cognition	Social Aspects	AAC
 Develops setting-appropriate intervention plans with measurable and achievable goals. Collaborates with elients/patients and relevant others in the planning process (std V-B, 2a, std 31, 1B) 									
 Implements intervention plans (involves clients/patients and relevant others in the intervention process) (std V-B, 2b, sed 3.1.1B) 									
3. Selocts or develops and uses appropriate materials/instrumentation (sid V-B, 2c)									
4. Sequences tasks to meet objectives									
5. Provides appropriate introduction/explanation of tasks									
6. Measures and evaluates clicents'/patients' performance and progress (std V-B, 2d)									
7. Uses appropriate models, prompts or cues. Allows time for patient response.									
 Modifies intervention plans, strategies, materials, or instrumentation to meet individual client/patient needs (std V-B, 2e) 									
 Completes administrative and reporting functions necessary to support intervention (sid V-B, 2f) 									
10. Identifies and refers patients for services as appropriate (std V-B, 2g)									
Number of items scored: 0 Number of items remaining:	90 Se	Section Average:	0.00	'					
Professional Practice, Interaction and Personal Qualities									Score
 Lemonstrates now wage or and interdependence of communication and svallowing processes (sid IV-B, sid 3). (6B) Tose clinical resonance and domenstrates knowledges of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of and shifts: to intercent research minimale into acidate the solution of acidate the solutin of acidate the solution of acidate the solution of acidate	ing processes (sto	IV-B, std 3.1.	6B)						
3. Address to fideral, tota, not institutional regulators and demonstrates from logic of continuous professional issues and advocary (includes tends in host professional protices, privacy policies, models of childreys, and reinforment providence for the second professional issues and advocary (includes tends in host professional protices, privacy policies, models of childreys, and reinforment providence for the second professional issues and advocary (includes tends in host professional protices, privacy policies, models)	te of contemporat 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B, 3	y professional 8B)	issues and adw	ocacy (includes	trends in best p	ofessional pract	tices, privacy pu	olicies, models	
4. Communicates effectively, recognizing the avees, values, preferred mode of communication, and cultural/inquisic background of the patient, family, saregiver, and relevant others (and V-B, 3a, and 31,1B)	nunication, and c	ultural/linguist	ic background	of the patient, fa	mily, caregiver,	and relevant od	hers (std V-B,	3a, std 3.1.1B)	
5. Establishes rapport and shows care, compassion, and appropriate empathy during interactions with clients/patients and relevant others (std 3.1.1B)	interactions with	clients/patients	and relevant of	thers (std 3.1.11	3				
6. Uses appropriate rate, pitch, and volume when interacting with patients or others									
7. Provides counseling regarding communication and swallowing disorders to clients/patients, family, categivers, and relevant others (std V-B, 3c, sul 3.1.6B	s/patients, family	caregivers, and	d relevant other	s (std V-B, 3c, 1	ad 3.1.6B)				
8. Collaborates with other professionals in case management (sid V-B, 3b, sid 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B	3, 3.1.6B)								
9. Displays effective oral communication with patient, family, or other professionals (sid V-A, sid 3.1.1B)	(std V-A, std 3.1	.1B)							
10. Address to the ASHA Code of Ethics and Scope of Practice documents and conducts him or herself in a professional, ethical manner (sol IV-E, V-B, 3d, sol 31.1B, 31.6B)	lucts him or hers	lf in a professi	onal, ethical m	anner (std IV-E,	V-B, 3d, std 3.	1.1B, 3.1.6B)			
11. Demonstrates professionalism (std 3.1.1B, 3.1.6B)									

Page 2/3 * May 21 2019 * Cumulative Evaluation

Evaluation

Speech Sound Production

Fluency

Voice

Language Hearing Swallowing Cognition Social Aspects AAC

Professional Practice, Interaction and Personal Qualities	Soore
12. Demonstrates openness and responsiveness to clinical supervision and suggestions	
13. Personal appearance is professional and appropriate for the clinical setting	
14. Displays organization and preparedness for all clinical sessions	

Number of items scored: 0 Number of items remaining: 14 Section Average: 0.00

Clinical Excellence in Writing
1. Displays effective written communication for all professional correspondence (std V-A, std 3.1.1B)
2. Organizes information following correct format
Writes narratives in a logical/concise manner
Writes utilizing a clear and grammatically correct style
Uses appropriate language/terminology
6. Uses supervisory suggestions and constructive criticism to modify reports/documentation as needed
Completes reports and revisions in a timely manner
8. Meets deadlines for submitting all documentation
Accurately maintains records in client files

Number of items scored: 0 Number of items remaining: 9 Section Average: 0.00

Appendix B

Inadequate		Developing		Proficient
Introduction	Neither implicit nor explicit reference is made to the topic to be examined. 1	The introduction does not offer many hints to the topic and may seem disjointed with respect to what follows. 3	While not explicitly stated, the reader can (correctly) guess where the paper will go based on the introduction. 5	Roadmapping! The reader knows exactly what the review will cover. 7
Body: Flow	No organization, sequencing, or structure. 1	Weakly organized, but sections/paragraphs. 3	Despite organization, sequence of topics or structure is illogical. 5	The paper is well organized, demonstrates logical sequencing and structure. 7
Coverage of Content	Sections are missing and the writer assumes too much background knowledge from the reader. 1	Either necessary content is missing or the writer assumes background knowledge from the reader. 3	Although all topics were included, some was not as in-depth as was necessary based on the purpose of the paper. 5	Every topic that should be covered is and done quite well! 7
Clarity of Writing/Technique	It is hard to know what the writer is trying to express. Misspelled words and syntax problems. 1	Clarity is an issue. There may also be grammatical, spelling, or punctuation errors. 3	Writing is generally clear and grammatical, but not concise. Meaning sometimes hidden. 5	Writing is grammatical, clear, and succinct. Uses active voice. Not awkward. Meaning explicit. 7
Research Questions	Questions are missing! 1	Questions, whether clearly stated or not, are a surprise based on the review. 3	Questions flow naturally from the review, but are not clearly stated. 5	Questions flow naturally from the review and are clearly stated. 7
Originality	Plagiarism is readily observed or suspected with a high degree of certainty based on SafeAssign or manual review. 0 on assignment.			Paper contents properly paraphrased, no concerns from SafeAssign or manual review. 3
Citations	4+ errors. 1	2–3 errors. 3	1 error. 5	All citations, parenthetical or within the text, are correct. 7
Citations Citations Match References	Either a citation does not have a matching reference or a reference does not have a matching citation. 1			All citations have a matching reference. All references are cited. 7
References: Form	4+ errors. 1	Up to 3 errors in references and DOIs may or may not have been included. 3	All references are correct, but not all DOIs have been included. 5	All references are correct. When they exist, DOIs are included. 7

References: Number	Writer has missed a significant number of sources as determined by a quick search. 1	Writer has missed some relevant sources. 2	Writer relies heavily on a small number of sources even though more are available and relevant. 3	Writer does not rely heavily on just a few sources. Appropriate! 4
APA Conventions	4+ errors or has quoted instead or paraphrasing or seems to have used secondary sources. 1	2–3 errors in style. 3	1 error related to APA style. 5	Correctly used APA conventions (e.g., Latin abbreviations, acronym rules, capitalization, which/that, headings). 7

Total:

/70

LitRev2/Analytic Scoring Rubric

Appendix C

Methods 1/Analytic Scoring Rubric

NAME:

	LEVELS OF ACHIEVEMENT				
Criteria	INADEQUATE Proficient	DEVELOPING			
Participants	Participant characteristics as well as recruitment are not clear. Could not reproduce due to lack of detail. (2.5)		Number (or range) of participants is specified. Criteria for inclusion/ exclusion documented. Specifies how participants will be recruited. (4.5)		
Materials	Section lacks quite a bit of information. Could not reproduce. (2.5)	f There is not enough information about at least one aspect of this section. (3.5)	Instrumentation, tests, screens, equipment, software, applications, surveys, and so on are described clearly. (4.5)		
Design	Descriptions of both design and variables are lacking. (2.5)	Either the design or variables are not detailed. (3.5)	Research design is stated and described. Variables are defined as appropriate. (4.5)		
Procedures	There were no questions and the way forward seems quite unclear. (2.5)	Although procedures are generally clear, some aspects are less so. (3.5)	Steps of the project are clearly explained. How will data be collected? How long will recordings be kept before they are transcribed and originals deleted? Are instructions to participants clear? (4.5)		

Clarity of writing and writing technique	It is hard to know what the Writing is generally clear, writer is trying to express. but unnecessary words are Misspelled words, used. Meaning is incorrect grammar, and improper punctuation make reading difficult. (2.5) (3.5)			succinc	-
Citations/ References: APA 6th per manual/ companion website	Citations for statements included in the paper were not present OR included references were not found in the text. Use of quotes instead of paraphrasing. Possibly used secondary sources. (1.5)	Although citations were included and allowed sources were utilized, there were extensive errors in citations and/or references. (2.5)	Citations within body of the rep and a correspon reference list w presented. Som formatting prob exist OR comp were missing. Paraphrasing of primary source (3.5)	ort nding vere ne olems onents	All needed citations were included in the paper. References matched the citations, and all were encoded in correct APA format. Paraphrasing of primary sources used. (4.5)
Originality	Plagiarism is readily of suspected with a high of certainty based on <i>Safe</i> assignment)	degree of	1	n in conc	uspected to be the cert with thoughtful, . (3)

Adapted from form created by University of Pittsburgh, CBE Resource Group, 2010, www.cbe.pitt.edu

Appendix D

Francis Marion University

Institutional Review Board

Human	Particir	nante	Protocol	Form
riuman	I al tich	Janto	11010001	I UIIII

IRB use only	Proposal Number:	Date Received:

Part I: General Information Project Information

Title of Project:

Proposed Type of Project (check all that apply)

	Funded (Account Number):		
	Funding Agency or Agencies (if		
	applicable):		
х	Student Research (student is		
	primary researcher and faculty is		
	only supervising oversight):		
х	Department: Speech-Language P	athology	Course #s: SLP 567 (Sp'19) & 630
			(Sp'20)
	Teaching (in-class project)		
Х	Proposed Start Date:	Proposed Er	id Date : 05/01/2020
	05/01/2019	-	
Rea	uested Review (only check one cate	egory)	
	Full Review		
	Expedited Review		
	Exempted Review		

Principal Investigator (if student researcher then supervising is principal investigator):

Name: Skye Lewis			Name: Skye Lewis						
Title: Assistant Professor									
Department/School: Speech-Lan	guage Patholog	y/Health Scienc	es						
Office Location: CCHS 354									
<i>E-mail:</i> skye.lewis@fmarion.edu <i>Phone:</i> 661-1885									
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No						
If Not Certified, Then Planned Da	te of submission	n of Certificate:							

Co-Principal Investigator (actively involved in the design and conduct of research project; add duplicate rows as needed):

Name:						
Title: Graduate Student						
Department/School: Speech-Language Pathology/Health Sciences						
E-mail:		Phone:				
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No			
Name:						
Title						
Department/School:						
E-mail:		Phone:				
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No			
Name:						
Title						
Department/School:						
E-mail:		Phone:				
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No			

Department/School:			
E-mail:		Phone:	
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No
Name:			
Title			
Department/School:			
E-mail:		Phone:	
RB Certificate of Training	Yes		No

Student Researcher (add duplicate rows as needed)

Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:
Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:
Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:

Research Assistants (only involved in the collection and analysis of data):

Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:
Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:
Name:	On-campus Phone:
E-mail:	Off-campus Phone:

Part II: Basic Participant Information Information is collected in such a way that participants (check all that apply)

Participant responses can be identified:
Participant responses cannot be identified:
Risks are the same as encountered in daily life or during performance of routine physical or psychological examination or tests:
Risks are more than minimal; either as (a) probability of the harm or discomfort anticipated or (b) the magnitude of the harm or discomfort is greater than encountered in daily life or during performance of routine physical or psychological examination or tests:
Collected information is such that participants may be at risk of criminal or civil liability if their responses are disclosed outside of the research setting
Collected information is such that it may be damaging to the participants' financial, social reputation, employability or public standing if their responses are disclosed outside of the research setting

Estimated Number of Participants:

Participant Population (check all that apply):

FMU Students
Normal Adult Community Residents
Minors (under 18 year old)*****
Mentally Disabled/Mentally III**
Mentally Retarded***
Institutionalized Patients**
Pregnant Females**
Economically Disadvantaged Persons**
Prisoners/Court Ordered Persons**
Other**

****Requires advised consent of parent/appointed guardian ** Consult with Chair or Designee of the IRB for special requirements Recruitment Procedures (check all that apply)

110	Sidiment Presedence (check an mat apply)
	Student Participant Pool
	Mail-out or Handout (attach for approval of IRB)
	Newspaper ads/Flyers/Postings (must be approved by IRB)
	School children with request sent to parent
	Other (explain)

Exclusion of groups from the study (check all that apply)

No group will be excluded
Women
Minorities
Children under 12
Other (specify)
Justification for exclusion from study:

Location of Study

Check here if this project is to be conducted at locations other than FMU
If the other site carried out an IRB review then attach notices from other IRBs
If you are conducting research at another facility where participants have an expectation of privacy such as a public school, medical facility, etc you must attach a letter of support from the CEO of each site to document permission to use the facility.

Part III: Project Proposal

Project Purpose (provide a brief description of the purpose of your project using non-technical terms:

(text box will expand to include your entry)

Informed Consent:

Attach the informed consent form you will use in the project.		
Are you seeking a waiver of all required elements of informed consent?		
Are you seeking waiver of selected elements of informed consent?		
Are you seeking waiver of documentation of consent (signature of participant)?		
If yes, then provide justification for a waiver.		
Who will obtain participant's consent?		
 PI		
Co-PI		
Research Assistant		
Student Researcher		
Other (specify)		

Participant Remuneration (check all that apply)

Will participants receive course/academic credits for participation?
Will participants receive monetary remuneration?
Amount: Payment Schedule:
Will participants receive incentive gifts (prizes, awards, etc)? Explain:
Other remuneration: Explain.

Nature of Research

Collection of descriptive statistics
Survey
Correlation or individual differences study
Experiment (manipulation of one or more variables by experimenter)
Field experiment (manipulation within natural setting)
Field study (unobtrusive observational study)
Other (describe)

Research Design:

Describe your recruitment procedure. (Approximately 30-75 words)

(text box will expand to include your entry)

Procedures:

Describe all procedures in which participants will participate. If data collection instruments will
be used, indicate the time necessary to complete them, the frequency of administration, and
the setting in which they will be administered. If follow-up data collection may occur, please
describe this. Include copies of surveys, interview questions, assessment instruments
(questionnaires, formal tests, etc). Include reference for instruments that have been published.

(text box will expand to include your entry)

Protection of Participants:

Most importantly for the purposes of IRB approval, describe all means by which you will ensure participants confidentiality. Please include physical safeguards for data storage, location of storage, and describe who has access to the data. Also address the timing of destruction of data.

(text box will expand to include your entry)

Word	Word file sent as attachment to therzog@fmarion.edu
Word	Recruitment documents, if applicable
Word	Sent hard copies of Certificate of Training to Office of Institutional Research
Word	Letters of support, if applicable
Word	Surveys, questionnaires, tests, etc.
Word	Informed consent form or justification for request waiver

Part IV: Checklist of documents accompanying application:

PDF Signed hard copy to Teresa Herzog (CEMC 10	PDF	Signed hard copy to Teresa Herzog (CEMC 109)
--	-----	--

Agreement and Statement of Assurance by the Principal Investigator: Send a hardcopy of this document to the IRB with signatures.

I have reviewed this research proposal and the consent form, if applicable. I have also evaluated the scientific merit and potential value of the proposed research study, as well as the plan for protecting the human participants and their confidentiality. I have used the Francis Marion University IRB Policies and Guidelines in review and preparation of the proposal and will abide by those policies and procedures. I certify that (a) the information provided for this project is accurate, (b) no other procedures will be used in this project without renewal of project. I also understand that if the project is approved, then I assure that I will:

- 1. Report to the IRB any adverse events or research-related injuries that occur;
- 2. Submit in writing for IRB approval any proposed revisions or amendments to this project;
- 3. Submit additional information of the project, if requested by the IRB in their approval;
- 4. Request renewal of the project as necessary;
- 5. Notify the IRB upon termination of this project.

Last Name		MI
First		
Signature of Principal Investigator	Date	
If a student(s) is the primary investigato, the principal investigator.	r, then he needs to certify he	will follow the guidance of
As student working on this project, I cert investigator and will report all actions or		
Last Name First		MI
Signature of Student Investigator	Date	
Last Name First		MI
Signature of Student Investigator	Date	

Action of IRB (for use by IRB only)

Proposal Numl Principal Investiga							
Expedited Exemp	t 🔄 Full	Requested Re	evision/Additional Information				
Approved		Expiration Date:					
Certification by IRB Chair/Designee							
Last Name Fi	rst Name	MI					
Signature of IRB Chair/Des	signee		Date				
Comments:							