SCORING RUBRIC FOR GRANT PROPOSAL

Proposal Title:

	EXEMPLARY (3 PTS)	ADEQUATE (2 PTS)	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT (1 PT)	MISSING (0 PTS)	SCORE
Project description	Provides a clear explanation of the proposed experience	Provides an explanation of the proposed experience but a question is raised about how students will be involved	Provides an explanation of the proposed experience but more than one question is raised about how students will be involved	Project description is missing	
Academic Requirements	Describes a method for recruiting students that applies the best practices for nontraditional learning programs	Describes a method for recruiting students but at least one of the best practices for nontraditional learning programs is not used	Describes a method for recruiting students that does not apply any of the best practices for nontraditional learning programs	No method is described for recruiting students	
Rationale	Presents rationale and significance of proposed work in the form of a well- structured, logical argument	Shows some effort to present the rationale and significance of proposed work in the form of a well-structured argument	Presents rationale and significance of proposed work in the form of a weak, unstructured argument	Rationale and significance of proposed work not articulated	
*Impact (x2)	**The project will expand the student's view and significantly impact their life**	**The project creates an experience that the student would not typically encounter**	**The project enhances the student's existing educational experience**	**No clear evidence that students will benefit from the project**	
Reflection	Provides a clear and thorough reflection objective that includes pre- /post-survey, or other measures and student group discussion	Provides a reflection objective that contains measurable reflection or group discussion but not both	Contains an ambiguous reflection objective that is not easily measurable	Contains no reflection	
Logistics	Grant implementation is well-planned and a detailed schedule is provided	Grant implementation is planned and a schedule is provided	Grant implementation is planned but no schedule is provided	No plan nor schedule is provided	
				**TOTAL SCORE (MAX SCORE = 21)	

Additional Objective Factors: Per Student Cost/Use of Funds, Frequency of Applications
* Impact on students is valued higher than the other categories and therefore carries twice the weight in scoring.
** A zero (0) in any category will disqualify the grant from possible funding.

Please provide any comments here: